EXPERIMENTAL RESPONSE OF A LOW-YIELDING, SELF CENTERING, ROCKING COLUMN BASE JOINT WITH FRICTION DAMPERS

Massimo Latour^a, Gianvittorio Rizzano^a, Aldina Santiago^b, Luis Simoes da Silva^b ^aUniversity of Salerno, Dept. Civil Engineering, Italy ^bUniversity of Coimbra, ISISE, Portugal

mlatour@unisa.it, grizzano@unisa.it, aldina@dec.uc.pt, luisss@dec.uc.pt

ABSTRACT

The sliding hinge joint (SHJ) is a type of supplemental energy dissipation system for column 11 12 bases or beam-to-column connections of steel Moment Resisting Frames (MRFs). It is based 13 on the application of symmetric/asymmetric friction dampers in joints to develop a dissipative mechanism alternative to the column/beam yielding. This typology was initially 14 proposed in New Zealand and, more recently, is starting to be tested and applied also in 15 Europe. While on the one hand this technology provides great benefits such as the damage 16 17 avoidance, on the other hand, due to the high unloading stiffness of the dampers in tension or 18 compression, its cyclic response is typically characterized by a limited self-centering capacity.

19 To address this shortcoming, the objective of the work herein presented is to examine the 20 possibility to add to these connections also a self-centering capacity proposing new layouts 21 based on a combination of friction devices (providing energy dissipation capacity), pre-loaded 22 threaded bars and disk springs (introducing in the joint restoring forces).

23 In this paper, as a part of an ongoing wider experimental activity regarding the behaviour of 24 self-centering connections, the attention is focused on the problem of achieving the selfcentering of the column bases of MRFs by studying a detail consisting in a column-splice 25 26 equipped with friction dampers and threaded bars with Belleville disk springs, located above 27 a traditional full-strength column base joint. The main benefits obtained with the proposed layout are that: i) the self-centering capability is obtained with elements (threaded bars and 28 29 Belleville springs) which have a size comparable to the overall size of the column-splice cover plates; ii) all the re-centering elements are moved far from the concrete foundation avoiding 30 31 any interaction with the footing. The work reports the main results of an experimental 32 investigation and the analysis of a MRF equipped with the proposed column base joints.

33

4

5

6 7 8

9

10

34 Keywords: Friction dampers, self-centering, FREEDAM, base-plate, modelling

- 35 **1. INTRODUCTION**
- 36

Eurocodes require to design structures to ensure the achievement of minimum performance levels under a set of design load combinations [1,2]. Current design procedures are based on structural checks for Serviceability Limit States (SLS) (related to the most frequent conditions occurring during the life-time of the structure) and for Ultimate Limit States (ULS) for which the structure, in case of rare seismic events, can be designed to dissipate energy in selected zones.

43 The modern seismic protection strategies implemented into international building codes are 44 based, in case of destructive seismic events, on the absorption of the seismic energy in 45 dissipative zones, which are detailed to sustain cyclic inelastic rotation demands [3]. In case of 46 steel Moment Resisting Frames (MRFs) this strategy is traditionally applied by properly over-47 strengthening columns and connections enforcing, in this manner, the development of plastic hinges in the beam ends and at the base of the columns. Additionally, to maximize the energy 48 49 dissipation, the plastic zones are spread along the elevation of the building, promoting the 50 development of a global failure mode through the application of members hierarchy criteria 51 and the design of full strength connections [4-7]. Therefore, owing to the assumptions made 52 in design, traditional procedures typically lead to structures characterized by weak beams 53 and column bases, with strong joints.

54 This approach, if on the one hand provides benefits, such as the development of a stable 55 plasticization and the reduction of the inter-storey drifts under serviceability loading 56 conditions, on the other hand, leads to significant shortcomings. The most substantial 57 weakness is intrinsic in the design strategy itself. In fact, although the damage is needed to 58 absorb the input earthquake energy, it also represents one of the main sources of economic 59 loss [8-11]. In fact, since the dissipative zones are constituted by sections or elements 60 belonging to the structural system, after severe seismic events, the structure is affected by significant damage and, because of permanent plastic deformations, it is characterized by a 61 62 pattern of residual drifts. In general, the magnitude of this out-of-plumbness may be 63 significant in view of the actual possibility to repair the structure after a destructive seismic 64 event.

Aiming to design structures undergoing minimal damage, special typologies of dissipative partial strength joints based on the inclusion of friction dampers in connections have been proposed and, recently, extensive studies have been carried out in research programs 68 worldwide [12-15]. These connections were initially proposed by Grigorian and co-authors in 1993 [16] and, subsequently, many other theoretical, experimental and modelling works, as 69 70 well as practical applications, were carried out, especially in New Zealand, developing the so-71 called called Sliding Hinge Joint (SHJ). This connection is characterised by very simple details 72 based on the inclusion of Asymmetric Friction Connections (AFC) or Symmetric Friction Connections (SFC) at the bottom beam flange, with friction pads made of mild steel, 73 74 aluminium, brass or – in the most recent versions – abrasion-resistant steel (e.g. [16-22]). 75 Similar solutions were also patented in 2000 in Japan [23,24] while, more recently, other 76 alternatives have been proposed suggesting new layouts, in which the friction damper is 77 conceived as a separate element fabricated in the shop and fastened on site to the beam 78 bottom flange [25-28]. This layout, which is probably not as simple as the SHJ, provides the 79 possibility to realise the whole damper in the shop allowing a better control on the materials 80 quality (e.g. higher control of the surface conditions, continuous factory controls on the 81 production, control on the employed bolts quality), and on the application of rigorous bolts installation procedures complying with the relevant European standards [28-34]. The layout 82 of the typical beam-to-column joint, recently proposed in Europe for application in semi-83 continuous steel Moment Resisting Frames (MRFs), represents an alternative to a stiffened 84 Double Split Tee connection (DST) where, in place of the bottom Tee, a slotted friction device 85 86 with a haunch slipping on friction shims pre-stressed with pre-loadable high strength bolts (Fig.1) is realised. All the elements of the connection constitute a Symmetrical Friction 87 88 Connection (SFC) which is, as already underlined, a friction damping device fabricated as a 89 standalone element in the shop. With such detail the beam is forced to rotate around the pin 90 located at the base of the upper T-stub web and the energy dissipation is ensured by the 91 alternate slippage of the lower beam flange on friction shims (Fig.1).





Fig. 1 – Typical layout of one of the connections studied in [28]

95 This connection, similarly to the SHJ, should be implemented to behave rigidly at SLSs and to 96 allow the beam-to-column inelastic rotation at the ULS. Additionally, through the application 97 of proper hierarchy criteria, both at the global and local level, it can be easily designed to be 98 the only source of energy dissipation of the whole structure.

99 Within this framework, considering the encouraging outcomes of previous research projects 100 dealing with the application of such connections, in this paper, the problem of the self-101 centering structures equipped with dissipative friction joints is analysed. In fact, due to 102 permanent deformations in the friction dampers, similarly to what occurs when plastic zones 103 are concentrated in the beams or in yielding connections, significant out-of-plumbness 104 displacements can remain after a severe ground motion [15, 42-44]. Indeed, although these 105 connections are very effective from the point of view of the damage avoidance, they still 106 provide significant problems related to the low self-centering capacity. This drawback is 107 mainly due to the high unloading stiffness of the friction dampers in tension or compression. To avoid this undesired behaviour, as already proposed in several past studies [34-41] a 108 109 supplemental re-centering system can be adopted.

Specifically, in this paper, the attention is focused on the problem of self-centering the column base joint, by studying a detail consisting in a column-splice equipped with friction dampers and threaded bars with Belleville disk springs, located just above a traditional full-strength base plate joint. The main advantages of the proposed layout are that: *i*) the self-centering capability is obtained with re-centering elements (threaded bars and Belleville springs) which have a small size, similar to the dimension of the column-splice cover plates; *ii*) all the recentering elements are moved far from the concrete foundation. The work reports the main results of an experimental investigation and preliminary analyses of MRFs equipped with recentering FREEDAM column base joints. The obtained results are hereinafter critically discussed showing the promising performances of the proposed column base connection.

120

121 **2. CONCEPT OF THE PROPOSED CONNECTION**

122 **2.1 Friction dampers and re-centering systems**

123 Being an effective way of dissipating energy, dampers based on principles of dry friction have 124 become very popular and are largely used in high risk seismic zones. In the last decades, the 125 application of this concept has been subject of numerous studies [35-38, 45] and many 126 friction dampers have been proposed for practical purposes. This damper typology usually dissipates energy through the alternate slippage of at least two surfaces in contact, on which a 127 128 transversal clamping force is applied with hydraulic systems [46], electromagnetic forces [47] 129 or, in the simplest case, by means of mechanical devices such as high strength bolts. This last 130 clamping method is the most common in civil engineering practice.

131 The cyclic behaviour of friction dampers is normally characterized by a rigid-plastic 132 hysteresis which depends only on two parameters: the clamping force and the friction coefficient of the interfaces in contact. The first parameter is usually governed by the 133 134 application tightening procedures which are based essentially on the control of the nut 135 rotation (displacement-controlled procedure), applied torque (force-controlled procedure) or 136 on the employment of specific devices which fail or squash at the achievement of the proof 137 preloading level (e.g. DTI or squirter DTI washers and HRC bolts) [26]. Conversely, the second 138 parameter (namely the friction coefficient) is predicted by means of physical modelling or 139 experimental testing. In the former case, the attention is focused on the modelling of complex 140 and microscopic phenomena such as adhesion and ploughing which are dependent upon the 141 surfaces topography, the materials hardness, the mechanical properties and the effects of 142 interface layers. In the latter case, which is the most common in structural engineering practice, conversely, the properties of the friction interface are studied by means of 143 144 experimental testing which, for seismic engineering purposes is generally considered sufficient to provide the information needed for designing the devices. A general discussion 145 146 dealing with the main factors influencing the friction interaction is reported in [10,48,49].

-5-

147 The main proposals of application of friction dampers in steel structures are referred to bracing systems or beam-to-column connections. One of the first devices based on friction 148 149 was that developed in [50] which introduced at the intersection of braces, brake lining pads between the steel sliding surfaces. One of the simplest forms of friction damper has been 150 151 proposed in [51] who adopted simple bolted slotted plates located at the end of a 152 conventional bracing member. The brace-to-frame connection was designed to slip with fully 153 elastic braces. Another friction damper for chevron braces was proposed in [52]. Concerning 154 connections, as previously said, application of principles of dry friction were initially first 155 developed by Grigorian and co-authors [16] and subsequently extensive studies were carried 156 out in New Zealand by the research group at the University of Auckland [9,10, 17-22, 53,54] 157 and in other countries applying these principles also to other structural typologies [55,56]. More recently, other works on a specific type of sliding hinge joint have been performed also 158 159 in Europe in a research activity regarding the analysis of friction materials, the bolt 160 installation procedures, the long-term response due to relaxation of the slip force, the robustness assessment, the FE modelling and the experimental analysis of real-scale 161 162 structures or sub-assemblies of joints [26,28].

163 While, as herein summarized, friction dampers in beam-to-column connections have been largely investigated, the application of friction dampers in column base joints of steel 164 165 structures is only a recent proposal and little knowledge is currently available. The idea to 166 dissipate energy in the base plate with friction devices comes from the field observation of 167 damages after the earthquakes of Northridge (1994), Kobe (1995) and Tohuku (2011). In fact, 168 during the technical surveys, in many cases, severe damage involving plate and anchor bolts 169 was observed. Additionally, past experimental tests have indicated that the traditional base 170 plate connections are prone to the development of damage into elements which are not easy 171 to replace such as the base section of the column (in case of full-strength connections) or the base plate/anchors (in case of partial strength connections) and, due to residual 172 deformations, may give rise to a pattern of residual lateral displacements in the whole 173 174 building. Therefore, in general, owing to the limited dissipative capacity and difficult reparability of the base joint (they are typically hidden by the flooring of the first storey) the 175 176 occurrence of damage at the base of the building represents a significant shortcoming both in 177 view of the actual reparability of the building and in terms of economic cost to be sustained in 178 the aftermath. All these issues have recently motivated a significant number of research 179 activities worldwide dealing with the development of innovative base plate connections equipped with dampers able to limit damage, while preserving the ability of the structure to dissipate energy in case of rare seismic events. These connections, in some cases, have been equipped also with re-centering elements able to restore the columns to the initial position.

Two layouts were proposed by McRae and co-authors [58], while in [59] the study of the 183 184 efficiency of the dissipation of seismic energy through column base solutions has been 185 performed carrying out a series of experimental tests on different low damage steel base 186 connection. Within this work, two new design solutions were tested: the weak axis aligned 187 asymmetric friction connection, where friction surfaces are parallel to the web on plates 188 outstanding from the column flange, the strong axis aligned asymmetric friction connection, 189 where friction surfaces are parallel to the column flange. It is worth noting that, as evidenced 190 in [58], critical phenomena occurring with conventional full-strength connections can be 191 mitigated by means of friction column base connection, such as that proposed in this paper. In 192 fact, while in traditional frames due to yielding of the column base section and local buckling 193 phenomena, axial shortening of the column may occur [58, 60], with damage free connections, 194 such as the double friction base columns suggested in [58, 59] owing to the absence of plastic 195 deformations in the column, the axial shortening and its detrimental effects can be completely 196 avoided. Recently a novel type of rocking damage free connection has been proposed in [15]. 197 This column base, has a circular hollow section welded to a thick plate, four post-tensioning 198 tendons to give a self-centering capacity to the connection and friction dampers to dissipate 199 energy.

200 Other practical cases of self-centering systems proposed in literature usually include a tendon, 201 applied in the joint or over the entire extension of the structure. In [39] it was proposed to 202 include friction ring springs to the SHJ, obtaining a flag-shape behavior of the connection. A 203 similar approach in terms of re-centering was proposed in [40] who employed as re-centering 204 component rods applied at the tips of the whole beam, rather than only on the joint. In this work, the introduction of an "active link" was suggested and the connection to the beam, at 205 206 both ends, was achieved by means of pre-tensioned rods. In the second work, the employment 207 of a set of rods going through the entire segment of the beam and attached in the joint section 208 was proposed.

Self-centering base connections have also been developed in [41] using post-tensioned rods anchored to the column foundation. The aim is to ensure the possibility of movement, prestressing the rods within their elastic capacity. However, the proposed solutions, based on anchoring the rods to the foundation, can be less effective in a replacement situation. Friction 213 systems can also show a self-centering ability when employed with an asymmetric 214 configuration of the damper [21]. However, such capability is usually limited, and additional 215 components are normally needed to restore the connection itself or the structure. A significant practical implementation of the damage avoidance design strategy is described in 216 217 [57]. In this project, the building is designed in the transverse direction with tension limited 218 rocking shear walls and in the opposite direction with Sliding Hinge Joint MRFs. In this 219 application, the rocking shear walls are equipped with Ringfederer springs to obtain the self-220 centering ensuring hinge formation under a stable rocking mechanism. Conversely, the MRF 221 bays are equipped with conventional SHIs without self-centering devices. The similarities 222 between the solutions adopted in [57] and the application described in this paper are related 223 to the adoption of heavy-load springs to adjust the capacity of the structure and the 224 introduction of friction dampers in the column base. Nevertheless, as a difference, the 225 connection hereinafter presented proposes to introduce in the column base a simple system 226 of threaded bars with sets of Belleville washers acting as a spring to provide the needed self-227 centering action. This proposal wants to keep the layout of the connection as simple as 228 possible providing, other than the self-centering capacity, additional benefits such as the 229 absence of interaction with the concrete foundation and the limited size of the connection 230 which is, overall, similar or lower than the size of the cover plates employed to realize a 231 traditional column splice connection.

232

233 2.2 Proposed Solution

234 The proposed connection consists in a slotted column splice equipped with friction pads 235 located above a traditional full-strength base plate joint (Fig. 2a) [38]. In particular, 236 symmetrical friction dampers are realized slotting the upper part of the column above the 237 splice, adding cover plates and friction pads pre-stressed with high strength pre-loadable bolts on both web and flanges. To allow the gap opening, the slotted holes are designed to 238 239 accommodate a minimum rotation of 40 mrad [60], which is the benchmark rotation 240 established by AISC 341-16 for Special Moment Frames (SMFs). Similar provisions are given 241 in EC8 [3], which requires for Ductility Class High frames a rotation of 35 mrad. Between the 242 steel plates and the column, friction pads are inserted. It is worth noting that the layout 243 presented in this paper is not explicitly considering the possibility to accommodate a similar 244 rotation also in the weak direction, which is, instead, a situation rather common in practice. 245 Nevertheless, to provide a biaxial rotation capacity to the connection it would be sufficient to

246 oversize slightly the flange slots following the same simple geometrical rules used to over-size

the web holes.



a) Friction connections b) Re-centering system Fig. 2. Concept of the proposed solution

248

249 To provide a self-centering capability, pre-loaded threaded bars are introduced (Fig. 2b).

Additionally, to provide a sufficient deformability to the bar, a system of disk springs arranged

in series and parallel is installed in the assembly.

To assess the overall response of the connection (sub-assembly of Fig. 3a), the behavior of the whole system (connection, flange and web friction pads, re-centering bars and column) can be idealized by means of the simplified mechanical model delivered in Fig. 3b. The rotational spring C_b accounts for the flexural stiffness of the cantilever column of length equal to l_0 (Fig. 3a), given by:

257

$$K_{Cb} = \frac{3E_s I_c}{l_0^3} \tag{1}$$

where E_s is the steel modulus of elasticity, l_0 is the column length up to the splice section and I_c is the moment of inertia of the column profile. The translational spring F_f models the friction pads on the column flanges.



261

The stiffness of this component can be assumed infinite up to the achievement of the slip force and equal to zero when this value is achieved. Similarly, F_w models the friction pads on the column web. The translational spring F_{tb} models the axial behaviour of the threaded bars which work in series with the system of disk springs, whose resistance is defined as F_{ds} . The stiffness of the threaded bars is given by:

267

$$K_{tb} = \frac{n_b E_{tb} A_{tb}}{l_{tb}} \tag{2}$$

268

and the stiffness of the disk springs is expressed as:

270

$$K_{ds} = \frac{n_b n_{par}}{n_{ser}} K_{ds1} \tag{3}$$

271

272 where n_b is the number of bars employed in the connection symmetrically with respect to the 273 centroid of the column, *n_{par}* is the number of disk springs in parallel, *n_{ser}* is the number of disk springs in series and *K*_{ds1} is the stiffness of the single disk spring. Considering this mechanical 274 275 model, it is easy to verify that the typical moment-rotation behaviour of the connection can be 276 represented by a flag shape (Fig.3c). The moment M_2 represents the decompression moment 277 which corresponds to attainment of the slippage force in all the friction pads. The first branch 278 of the moment-rotation curve is characterized by an infinite stiffness of the connection and, 279 therefore, the rotational stiffness of the whole system is equal to K_{Cb} . The second branch, corresponds to the gap opening. In this phase, the slippage of the friction pads occurs, and the
rotational stiffness of the system is due to the stiffness of the threaded bars, disk springs and
column in bending, namely:

283

$$K_{2} = \frac{1}{\frac{1}{K_{Cb}} + \frac{4}{h_{c}^{2}} \left(\frac{1}{K_{tb}} + \frac{1}{K_{ds}}\right)}$$
(4)

284

where h_c is the column height. The branches 3 and 4 are characterized by the same stiffness of the branches 1 and 2, respectively. The bending moment M_0 represents the decompression moment due to the sum of the axial load in the column and to the pre-stress of the threaded bars:

289

$$M_0 = (F_{tb} + N_C) \frac{h_C}{2}$$
(5)

290

The bending moment M_1 represents the contribution to the bending moment due to friction pads, equal to:

293

$$M_1 = F_f\left(h_c - \frac{t_{fc}}{2}\right) + F_w \frac{h_c}{2} \tag{6}$$

294

where t_{fc} is the thickness of the column flange. Considering these equations, it is easy to verify that, from design point of view, the re-centering of the connection can be guaranteed imposing that:

298

$$M_0 - M_1 \ge 0 \quad \Rightarrow \quad F_{tb} \ge F_f \left(2 - \frac{t_{fc}}{h_c}\right) + F_w - N_c \tag{7}$$

299

301

300 3. DESIGN OF THE SPECIMENS FOR EXPERIMENTAL TESTS

With the set of equation previously reported, starting from the definition of the design actions, a column base connection has been designed. Owing to reasons of compatibility of the specimen capacity with the available equipment, the axial load has been limited to the 25% of the squash load, while the bending moment acting in the splice has been set equal to the 95% of the plastic bending moment of the column. The shear load derives from the testing scheme which is a cantilever representing, approximately, half column of the first storey of the building. Therefore, starting from a column profile HEB240, steel class S275, the followingdesign values have been calculated:

310

311
$$N_d = \nu N_{pl} = 0.25 N_{pl} = 728,75 kN$$

312 (8)
313 $M_d = 198,5 kNm$
314 $V_d = \frac{M_j}{l_0} = 128,1 kN$
315 (10)
(9)

316

317 where *lo*=1,55 m is the distance between the force at the top of the column and the splice 318 (Fig.3a), N_{pl} is the column squash load, ν is the axial load ratio, M_d is the assumed design 319 bending moment for the column base connection and V_d is the design value of the shear force. Based on the shear design load V_d , firstly, the web component has been designed imposing 320 321 that the slippage force on the web has to resist the applied shear load. All plates are of S275 322 steel class. The friction pads have been chosen according to the results of previous tests on 323 friction materials [62]. Basing on these results a friction coefficient μ =0,6, has been assumed. 324 Considering four bolts for both the upper and lower sides of the web connection, the pre-load 325 F_{wp} , for each bolt, has been determined as:

326

327
$$V_d = F_w = \mu F_{wp} n_b n_s \Rightarrow F_{wp} = 26,7 \ kN$$

328

(11)

329

330 where F_w is the slip resistance of the web friction dampers, μ is the design value of the friction coefficient, F_{wp} is the preloading force of the web bolts, n_b is the number of web bolts and n_s is 331 332 the number of friction interfaces (in this case, considering the symmetrical configuration, this 333 is equal to two). Considering the design resistance, M14 HV bolts of 10.9 class have been 334 selected (HV stands for "Hochfeste Bolzen mit Vorspannung", which in English means "high 335 resistance bolts for pretension"). In order to design the re-centering threaded bars, according 336 to Eq. (7), it has to be considered that the force in the bars depends on the slippage force of 337 the flange friction pads. Therefore, imposing the global equilibrium between the internal and 338 external bending moment in correspondence of the splice, the following system can be

written to design F_{tb} (the preloading force of the threaded bar) and F_f (the slip resistance of the flange dampers)

341

342
$$\begin{cases} F_{tb} - F_f\left(2 - \frac{t_{fc}}{h_c}\right) \ge F_w - N_d \\ F_{tb} \frac{h_c}{2} + F_f\left(h_c - \frac{t_{fc}}{2}\right) = M_d - (F_w + N_c) \frac{h_c}{2} \end{cases}$$

- 343 (12)
- 344

where h_c is the column depth and t_{fc} is the column flange thickness. For the sake of simplicity, if the lever arm of the friction force of the column flange friction dampers is approximated with h_c , the system of equations (12) leads to the following simple design formulation:

348

$$349 \qquad F_{tb} \ge \frac{M_d}{h_c} - N_d \quad \Rightarrow \quad F_{tb} \ge 98,6 \ kN$$

350 (13)

Considering the design actions, for the specimens, two M20 threaded bars, having a maximum capacity of 171,5 kN of pre-loading, have been adopted for the re-centering system. Considering this capacity, the bar preload has been fixed equal to 100 kN. Therefore, system (12), provides the following value of the design slippage force of the column flange friction pads:

356

$$F_f = \frac{M_d}{h_c} - \frac{1}{2} (F_w + N_d + F_{tb}) \implies F_f = 298,9 \ kN$$
(14)

357

Considering four bolts for both the upper and lower sides of the column flange connection, the
necessary pre-load *F_{fp}*, for each bolt, is:

360

$$F_f = \mu F_{fp} n_b n_s \quad \Rightarrow \quad F_{fp} = 62.3 \ kN \tag{15}$$

361

In this case, M20 HV bolts of 10.9 class have been selected. The last step of the design procedure consists in the design of the disk springs. Assuming a maximum rotation for the joint equal to 40*mrad*, the maximum gap-opening at the level of the re-centering bar is 4,8*mm* (0.04x120 mm). Adopting standard disk springs with diameter equal to 45 mm, thickness equal to 5 mm and height of the internal cone equal to 1.4 mm, three disk springs in parallel 367 are necessary to resist to the bar yielding force. The resistance of each disk spring is about 80 368 kN, while the stiffness (K_{ds1}) is about 80 kN/mm. Considering the previously defined 369 maximum displacement, Eq. (3) provides a minimum number of 21 disk springs to be 370 arranged in sets of 3 springs in parallel (so-called "nested" configuration), 7 times in series 371 (so-called "back-to-back" configuration), leading to an overall stiffness equal to K_{ds} =35,36 372 kN/mm.

373

375

4. CYCLIC AND PSEUDO-DYNAMIC TESTS

The testing equipment is depicted in Fig. 4. Two actuators have been used: the first one, at the top of the column is a MOOG Actuator (Maximum Load 3000 kN) governed under load control in order to apply the axial load, the second one is an MTS 243.35 actuator, with a maximum load capacity of 385 kN in compression and 240 kN in tension and a piston stroke of 1016 mm, controlled under displacement control in order to apply a cyclic force at the top of the column.

382



383

Fig. 4. Experimental layout. a) side view; b) front view

Regarding the measurement devices, a torque sensor Futek TAT430 has been used to measure the initial torque applied to the bolts with the torque wrench, while four load cells Futek LTH500 (capacity equal to 222kN) have been installed in the connection to monitor the

387 tensile forces in the threaded bars and in two bolts of one of the flange friction dampers (Fig. 5c). Additionally, LDT displacement transducers (max. 50mm) have been adopted in order to 388 389 measure the vertical displacements in both column sides (Fig. 5c). Regarding the bolt 390 tightening procedure the initial pre-load, according to EN 1090-2 specifications, was 391 increased of the 10% of the preload was added to the bolt loads to account for random 392 variability of the bolt tightening and initial installation loss. Thus, a torque of 180 Nm was 393 applied in the flanges and 60 Nm in the webs, leading to a force of 70kN and 30kN, 394 respectively. The pre-loading of the threaded bars was achieved by direct observation of the 395 load cells output. Concerning the actions, the axial force was kept constant during the test, 396 while the cyclic horizontal load at the top of the column was applied consistently with the 397 loading protocol suggested by AISC 360-10. Four cyclic tests have been performed varying the 398 axial load in the column, including or not including the re-centering bars.



401 Fig. 5. (a) Experimental layout; (b) Connection during the assembly; (c) view of the joint before the
402 test

399 400

403 In the different tests axial load ratios equal to 25% and 12,5% have been applied. The axial 404 loads were selected in a reasonable range of variation considering the typical size of MRFs 405 designed according to EC8. Specific values, in general, obviously depend on the building plan 406 and frame configuration. Nevertheless, values ranging from 10% to 30% seem representative 407 of MRFs designed in DCH [60,63]. The adoption of a constant axial force is clearly not reproducing the real loading situation of all the columns of a moment resisting frame. In fact, 408 409 due to overturning bending moments, especially the external columns of MRFs usually 410 undergo axial force variations during the earthquake. The choice to adopt a constant axial

411 force was done only to simplify the equipment used, as it is normally done in literature in similar tests [64]. From the practical point of view, this situation better reproduces the 412 413 behavior of internal columns which, typically, undergo lower axial load fluctuations during 414 the seismic event. In the tests with lower values of the column axial load, the total axial load in 415 the re-centering bars has been increased to 280 kN, which is still compatible with the pre-416 loading capacity of the threaded bars but not sufficient to respect Eq. (7) for guaranteeing the 417 flag shape behavior. In Table 1, a summary of the main values related to the loading condition 418 of the specimens is given.

Table	1.	Main	test	data

Test Number	Typology of Test	Column Axial Load	Total axial load in recentering bars	Ratio between the applied load in recentering bars and the	Preloading of each web bolt	Preloading of each flange bolt	Residual rotation at the end of the test (Residual top displacement/l ₀)
		kN	kN	minimum one given by Eq.(7)	kN	kN	[mrad]
1	Cyclic	728 (25% N _p)	200	2.03	27	62	2.1
2	Cyclic	728 (25% N _p)	0	-	27	62	4.1
3	Cyclic	365 (12.5% N _p)	280	0.48	30	114	31.0
4	Cyclic	365 (12.5% N _p)	0	-	30	114	49.7
5	Pseudo-dynamic	728 (25% N _p)	200	2.03	27	62	1.7
6	Pseudo-dynamic	728 (25% N _p)	0	-	27	62	5.2
7	Pseudo-dynamic	728 (25% N _p)	200	2.03	27	62	2.7

420 In Figs. 6, the hysteretic curves of the experimental tests are reported. In particular, in Fig. 6a 421 the experimental tests with higher axial load are depicted, while Fig. 6b show the tests with 422 lower axial load ratio. The response of the connections reflected the expected behaviour, 423 highlighting in the different cases, the effect of the re-centering bar. In fact, from the results 424 presented in Figs.6, it can be clearly observed that the threaded bars have played an 425 important role. Tests 1 and 2 were carried out with the higher value of the axial load ratio 426 (25%), while test 3 and 4 were carried out with a reduced axial force (12.5%). In the first two 427 tests the self-centering behavior was expected (because the size of the threaded bar was 428 defined considering an axial load ratio equal to the 25%), while in the third and fourth test the 429 self-centering could not be achieved because the initial tension in the bars was about half the 430 preload needed to achieve the theoretical self-centering condition. The 3rd and 4th tests were 431 carried out mainly to highlight the role of the re-centering threaded bar, even though in these

cases to obtain a full self-centering, as already evidenced, higher capacity re-centering 432 systems should have been employed. The cyclic moment-rotation curve of test 1 (Fig. 6a, red 433 434 line) highlights that the connection, with the axial force considered in the design phase, was able to return almost to the initial position with a very low value of the residual rotation (2.1 435 436 mrad), while in case of test 3 (Fig. 6b, red line) the residual rotation was higher (31 mrad) and 437 well beyond the constructional drift normally accepted in the execution of steel structures 438 (usually lower than 5 mrad, depending on the number of columns and height of the building) 439 or the tolerance limit to be accepted accounting for the issues related to the building 440 functionality (which can be assumed accounting for the existing literature as equal to 5 mrad 441 as suggested in [65]). In any case, comparing Fig. 6a with Fig. 6b, the role of the re-centering 442 bars can be clearly noticed in both cases.



443 444

Fig. 6. Moment-Rotation hysteretic curves of tested specimens

446

445

447 Aiming to verify the ability of the proposed column base connection to dissipate energy and to 448 re-center, pseudo-dynamic tests (PsD) have been performed at the Laboratory of Materials 449 and Structures of the University of Salerno. This testing method combines an on-line 450 computer simulation of the dynamic problem (accounting for damping and inertial effects) 451 with experimental data regarding restoring forces and corresponding displacements due to 452 quasi-static application of loads, to provide realistic dynamic response histories even in case 453 of non-linear behavior of severely damaged structures [66]. Its main advantage is that it 454 adopts essentially the same equipment of a conventional quasi-static test, in which prescribed 455 load or displacement histories are imposed on the specimen by means of servo-hydraulic 456 actuators (Fig. 4). The structure to test has been idealized as a discrete-parameter system 457 consisting of one degree of freedom, controlled by the actuator.

The classical equation of motion is solved by means of a direct step-by-step integration scheme in which the mass and the viscous damping properties of the structures are modelled analytically while the displacements, and consequently the restoring forces developed by the structure, are measured with the external transducers positioned on a reference frame.

In the experimental test the MTS hydraulic actuator was used to apply the displacement
history to a system with a fictitious mass equal to 74t. The test was carried out neglecting any
additional viscous damping and applying a loading velocity equal to 0.1 mm/s.

465 In order to perform the tests, the Kobe (Japan, 1995) (record of the 16.1.1995, N-S direction) and Spitak (Armenia, 1988) (record of the 12.7.1988, N-S direction)earthquake records were 466 467 selected as ground motions. Record scale factors equal to 1.4 (PGA=0,35 g) for the Kobe 468 earthquake and equal to 1 (PGA=0.199 *g*) for the Spitak earthquake, were considered. The 469 selection of few earthquake records for a limited number of pseudo-dynamic tests is always, 470 under many point of views, arbitrary and cannot be representative of all the possible real 471 cases. In this activity, these two specific records were selected to compare earthquakes with 472 different features. In fact, as it can be noticed also from the response of the specimens, while Kobe is a seismic event inducing a high number of large amplitude cycles, Spitak is 473 474 characterized mainly by two large reversal and many low amplitude cycles. The scale factor of 475 the seismic events was selected in order to achieve in the connection, approximately, a 476 rotation of 40 mrad.

In Fig.7 the moment-rotation plots of the pseudo-dynamic tests are reported. These pictures confirm the improved performance of the proposed column base connections in terms of reduction of the residual rotations (Table 1). Also in this case, the comparison between the moment-rotation curve of the column base connection with and without the re-centering threaded bars (Fig. 8a) evidences the improvement obtained with the adoption of recentering bars.

-18-





483

484

485

487

Fig. 8. Displacement Time-history of specimens submitted to pseudodynamic tests This effect is also evidenced by the reduction of the residual displacement after the simulated earthquake (Table 1). In Fig.8 the time-history of the displacements at the top of the column are shown for the three pseudo-dynamic tests. It can be observed that the column with the proposed base connection with re-centering bars is characterized by residual displacement after the earthquake always lower than 5 mrad [65].

Time [sec]

(a) Kobe Earthquake

Time [sec]

(b) Spitak Earthquake

494

495 5. SIMULATIONS OF MRFs496

In order to assess the effect of the adoption of the proposed re-centering column base connections over a structure, a preliminary time-history analysis of a MRF has been carried out. The case study structure regards a four bays-six storeys scheme designed according to the Theory of plastic mechanism control [67]. This methodology allows to select the column size applying the upper bound theorem and the concept of mechanism equilibrium curve ensuring the development of a failure mechanism of global type. The achievement of a failure mechanism of global type appears very important in view of the application of a damage 504 avoidance strategy adopting friction beam-column and self-centering column base 505 connections, as suggested.





Fig. 9. a) Case-study frame. b) Scheme and Seismostruct model

507

508 The considered layout has inter-storey heights equal to 3200 mm except for the first level whose height is equal to 3500 mm, while the bays have all a span of 6000 mm. Regarding the 509 loads, a uniform dead load $g_k = 4 \ kN/m^2$ and a uniform live load $q_k = 2 \ kN/m^2$ (value given 510 by the code for residential buildings) have been considered. Since the analyzed frame is the 511 512 perimeter frame of the building and the assumed transversal bay span *L*^{*t*} is equal to 6000 mm, a uniform dead load $G_k = g_k \cdot \frac{L_t}{2} = 12.00 \ kN/m$ and a uniform live load $Q_k = q_k \cdot \frac{L_t}{2} =$ 513 6 kN/m have been considered, so that the design gravity load distribution has been 514 515 determined, in accordance with EC8, i.e. $q_v = 1.35G_k + 1.5Q_k = 25.20 \ kN/m$. With reference to the seismic combination the load is determined as $q_E = G_k + \psi_2 Q_k + E_d$ (where ψ_2 is the 516 coefficient for the quasi-permanent value of the variable actions, equal to 0.3 for residential 517 buildings) and, as a consequence, the applied reduced gravity load is $q_E = 12 + 0.3 \cdot 6 =$ 518 519 $13.8 \, kN/m$.



Fig. 10. a) Beam-to-column connection with friction dampers; b) hysteretic response and
 calibrated model

522

531

In the dynamic analyses, the seismic masses have been evaluated starting from the uniform 523 524 distributed loads. The beam has been preliminary sized considering the gravity load combination (and afterwards checked also under seismic loading conditions), leading to the 525 526 adoption of IPE270 profiles made of S275 steel. The column sections have been selected in 527 order to ensure a failure mechanism of global type, varying the shape at every storey from a 528 maximum of HEB 300 to a minimum of HEB 220 at the top level of the building. The design 529 has been carried out considering a high seismicity region (PGA=0.35 g), soil type C, a seismic 530 response factor equal to 2.5, and a behavior factor equal to 6.





a) Mechanical model of the column base joint



To assess the influence of the proposed connection over the global response, a first 533 534 comparison has been performed, modelling the frame with the software for dynamic analysis 535 Seismostruct [68] and analyzing the same MRF two times: once assuming a fixed base and, 536 another time, introducing a set of springs able to accurately reproduce the typical behavior of 537 the proposed self-centering connection. In both cases, the assumed beam-to-column 538 connections are the bolted joints with friction dampers already tested in the European 539 research project FREEDAM, whose response is described in [28] (Fig. 9). The hysteretic 540 behavior of the two connection typologies (column base and beam-to-column joint) has been 541 modelled by means of zero-length hysteretic links whose mathematical parameters have been 542 properly calibrated by fitting the experimental moment-rotation response. In case of the 543 beam-to-column joint, a rotational spring has been used to model the connection, defining the 544 parameters by means of the optimization procedure developed in [69]. In this case, to model 545 the hysteresis, the phenomenological model of Sivaselvan and co-authors [70] (commonly 546 called in the software Seismostruct "smooth") has been adopted.



532









550

551 Conversely, to model the re-centering column base connections a complete mechanical model 552 based on the assembly of a set of springs able to reproduce the response of the tests 553 previously reported, has been calibrated and verified. This model has been calibrated in order 554 to grasp the effect of interaction between axial load and bending moment over the response of 555 the connection. The model is composed by four bilinear springs in parallel with gap elements able to simulate the uni-lateral hysteretic response of the friction dampers, plus a central 556 557 bilinear spring used to model the initial pre-stress of the re-centering bar and the hysteretic 558 response of the bar itself (Fig.11). The yielding force of the springs modelling the friction 559 dampers is determined through Eqs.11 and 15, while the initial stiffness and the slope of the 560 hardening branch has been calibrated on the experimental data, finding the optimized values 561 of *K*₀=45 kN/mm and *r*=0.01. Conversely, the stiffness of the spring modelling the re-centering 562 bars has been calculated as previously reported, namely K_{bar+ds} =44.28 kN/mm. The yielding 563 force and the post-elastic stiffness of the recentering bar have been calibrated starting from 564 the experimental data, defining F_{v} =250 kN and r=0.01. With the selected parameters, the 565 application of the mechanical model to some test results is delivered in Fig.12.

In order to include in the structural model of the MRF the hysteretic behavior of the re-566 centering connections, the parameters calibrated on the experimental tests have been 567 568 extended to model the particular configuration employed in the reference building which, in 569 the specific case, represents the connection of an HEB 300 column. In any case, while some 570 model parameters are numerically calibrated by curve fitting, the slip resistance of the friction 571 dampers and the pre-stress of the threaded bars have been re-calculated according to the design procedure previously described in order to achieve the full self-centering capacity of 572 573 the column base connection.



574

575 576

Fig. 13. Behavior of the designed base-plate connections for the case-study frame (Q=Force, δ =displacement), evaluated on a shear length equal to 1.55 m

577

578 The results of the design are summarized in Table 2 and Fig.13, for the external and internal 579 columns of the reference MRF. These two typologies need different design values of the pre580 loading applied to the bolts and to the re-centering bars, because they are initially loaded with

581 different values of the axial force (250 kN for the external column, 500 kN for the internal).

			External column	Internal column		
	d^+	mm	1000			
1	K_0^{+}	kN/mm	$1.0{ imes}10^{12}$			
	d^-	$\mathbf{m}\mathbf{m}$	0.0			
	K_0^{-}	kN/mm	$1.0 imes 10^6$			
2	K_0	kN/mm	45	450.0		
	Fy	kN	546.02	549.49		
	r		0.01			
3	K_0	kN/mm	45	0.0		
	Fy	kN	254.44	240.55		
	r		0.01			
4	K_0	kN/mm	322.66			
	Fy	kN	1830	1400		
	r		0.50			
1	D		5.67 4.33			
N	act	kN	250	500		

582 *Table 2.* Model parameters for the re-centering connection (notation explained in Fig.11b)

584

With the calibrated parameters, a time-history analysis of the MRF has been performed, considering the first accelerogram of a set of eight natural records selected to match the EC8 reference elastic pseudo-acceleration spectrum (Fig.14). The fundamental natural period of the structure has been assessed through a modal analysis determining a value of 1.6 seconds. The two structures (fixed bases and self-centering connections) have the same period as the proposed connection, due to the high initial stiffness, is nominally rigid. A damping ratio of the 5% was considered.







Fig. 14. Time-history response – top storey displacement (red: with re-centering, black: without re-centering)

⁵⁸³

596 The results given in Fig.15 highlight the enhanced response showing that with the proposed 597 column base joints it is possible to obtain a significant improvement in terms of residual 598 drifts. In fact, while with traditional full-strength column base connections the residual sway 599 displacement at the top of the building is equal to 350 mm (corresponding to 18 mrad of 600 average inclination of the column), with the employment of the proposed connections, it 601 reduces of about the 85%, achieving a residual top displacement at the end of the simulated 602 seismic event of 60 mm, corresponding to an average inclination of the building of about 3 603 mrad. This points out that, while with a traditional solution, the actual reparability of the 604 building would be compromised (18 mrad > 5 mrad), with the proposed self-centering 605 connections the residual drift reduces significantly falling within the prescribed limits [65].



606

Fig. 15. Time-history response – top storey displacement (red: with self-centering column
 bases, black: without re-centering)

609

610 6. CONCLUSIONS

611 Aiming to obtain structures undergoing minimal damage able to return also to the original 612 configuration, in this paper, a new type of column base joint equipped with friction dampers 613 and re-centering threaded bars has been suggested. After presenting the conceptual design of 614 the connection, the results of tests and numerical simulations have been reported. The tests 615 carried out on the proposed connection under cyclic and pseudo-dynamic loading conditions 616 have evidenced that, according to the design assumptions, the threaded bars, if properly prestressed, are able to work as elastic springs restoring the connection to the initial 617 618 configuration. On the other hand, the numerical simulations of a case-study MRF have evidenced that, compared to structures with classical full-strength base plate joints, 619 structures with self-centering column bases can self-center returning to the initial 620

- 621 configuration. These first analytical results seem promising and suggest extending the work to
- 622 other configurations in order to provide a wider validation of the system.
- 623

624 **5. ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS**

- 625 The research activity herein presented has been supported by the European Community by
- 626 research grant RFSR-CT-2015-00022. The support of the European Commission within RFCS
- 627 Research & Innovation is gratefully acknowledged.

628 **REFERENCES**

- 629 [1] EUROCODE 0. Basis of structural design. CEN, 2010.
- EUROCODE 1. Action on structures Part 1-1: General actions Densities, self-weight,
 imposed loads for buildings. CEN, 2002.
- 632 [3] EUROCODE 8. Design of structures for earthquake Part 1: General rules, seismic actions
 633 and rules for buildings. CEN, 2003.
- [4] Latour M, Rizzano G. (2013). Full Strength Design of Column Base Connections accounting
 for Random Material Variability. Engineering Structures.48:458-471.
- [5] Latour M, Rizzano G., 2013. A theoretical model for predicting the rotational capacity of
 steel base joints. Journal of Constructional Steel Research, 91, pp.89.99
- [6] D'Aniello M., Tartaglia R., Costanzo S., Landolfo R. (2017). Seismic design of extended
 stiffened end-plate joints in the framework of Eurocodes. Journal of Constructional Steel
 Research, Volume 128, January 2017, Pages 512–527
- [7] Tartaglia R., D'Aniello M., Rassati G.A., Swanson J.A., Landolfo R. (2018). Full strength
 extended stiffened end-plate joints: AISC vs recent European design criteria. Engineering
 Structures, Volume 159, 15 March 2018, Pages 155–171.
- [8] Hart (1994). Typical Costs for Seismic Rehabilitation of Existing Buildings, Volume I:
 Summary (FEMA 156), prepared by the Hart Consultant Group for the Federal
 Management Agency, Washington, D.C.
- [9] Hart (1995). Typical Costs for Seismic Rehabilitation of Existing Buildings, Volume II:
 Supporting Documentation (FEMA 157), prepared by the Hart Consultant Group for the
 Federal Management Agency, Washington, D.C.

- [10] Hwang, H.M., Xu, M., and Huo, J.-R. (1994), Estimation of Seismic Damage and Repair Cost
 of the University of Memphis Buildings, Memphis, Tennessee.
- [11] Dimopoulos, A. I., Tzimas, A. S., Karavasilis, T. L., and Vamvatsikos, D. (2016) Probabilistic
 economic seismic loss estimation in steel buildings using post-tensioned momentresisting frames and viscous dampers. Earthquake Engng Struct. Dyn., 45: 1725–1741.
 doi: 10.1002/eqe.2722.
- [12] Latour M., Piluso V., Rizzano G. "Free from damage beam-to-column joints: Testing and
 design of DST connections with friction pads." Engineering Structures, 2015.
- [13] MacRae G.A., Clifton G.C., Mackinven H., Mago N., Butterworth J., Pampanin S. "The sliding
 hinge joint moment connection." Bulletin of New Zealand society for earthquake
 engineering, vol.43, n.3, September 2010.
- [14] Ramhormozian, S., Clifton, G. C. (2016). "Recent Developments on the Sliding Hinge Joint".
 Proceedings of the NZSEE Conference.
- [15] Freddi, F., Dimopoulos, C.A., Karavasilis, T.L. Rocking damage-free steel column base with
 friction devices: design procedure and numerical evaluation (2017) Earthquake
 Engineering and Structural Dynamics, 46 (14), pp. 2281-2300.
- 666 [16] Grigorian CE, Yang TS, Popov EP. 1993 "Slotted bolted connection energy dissipators".
 667 Earthquake Spectra. Vol.9, No.3, pp.491-504.
- 668 [17] Clifton GC, Butterwoth JW, (2000). Moment-resisting steel framed seismic-resisting
 669 systems with semi-rigid connections 12th WCEE, Auckland, New Zealand.
- [18] Clifton GC, Zaki R, Butterwoth JW, (2004). Damage-resistance steel framed seismicresisting systems. 13th WCEE, Vancouver, Canada.
- [19] Golondrino JC, MacRae G, Chase J, Rodgers G., Clifton GC. Velocity effects on the behavior
 of asymmetrical friction connections (AFC). 8th STESSA Conference, Shanghai, China, July
 1-3, 2015
- [20] Khoo, H., Clifton, C. Butterworth, J. MacRae, G. Ferguson, G. 2012. "Influence of steel shim
 hardness on the sliding hinge joint performance". Journal f Constructional Steel Research,
 72, 119-129.
- [21] Ramhormozian S., Clifton G.C., MacRae G.A. "The Asymmetric Friction Connection with
 Belleville springs in the Sliding Hinge Joint" NZSEE Conference, 2014.

- [22] Ramhormozian S., Clifton G.C., Nguyen H., Cowle K. "Determination of the required partturn of the nut with respect to the number of free threads under the loaded face of the nut
 in fully tensioned high strength friction grip property class 8.8 bolts." Steel Innovations
 Conference, 2015.
- [23] Yokoyama S, Oki T. "Connecting Structure of beam and column and building having it
 structure" JP2000328650 (A) 2000-11-28.
- [24] Inoue K, Higashihata Y, Takahashi K, Ishii O "Anti-seismic damper using bolt drive"
 JPH0366877 (A) 1991-03-22.
- 688 [25] Latour M, Piluso V, Rizzano G. (2011). Experimental analysis of innovative dissipative
 689 bolted double split tee beam-to-column connections. Steel Construction. June;4(2):53-64.
- [26] Ferrante Cavallaro G., Francavilla A., Latour M., Piluso V., Rizzano G. Experimental
 behaviour of innovative thermal spray coating materials for FREEDAM joints.
 Composities Part B Engineering, September 2016
- [27] Latour, M., Piluso, V. and Rizzano, G. (2014). "Experimental Analysis on Friction Materials
 for Supplemental Damping Devices". Construction and Building Materials, Vol. 65,
 pp.159–176.
- 696 [28] M. Latour, M. D'Aniello, M. Zimbru, G. Rizzano, V. Piluso, R. Landolfo, Removable friction
 697 dampers for low-damage steel beam-to-column joints, Soil Dynamics and Earthquake
 698 Engineering, Volume 115, 2018, Pages 66-81.
- 699 [29] Heistermann C. "Behaviour of pretensioned bolts in friction connections." Licentiate700 thesis. Lulea, June 2011.
- [30] EN 1090-2. Execution of steel structures and aluminium structures: technical
 requirements for steel structures. CEN, 2008.
- [31] EN 14399-1. High-strength structural bolting assemblies for preloading Part 1: General
 requirements. CEN, 2015.
- [32] EN ISO 898-1. Mechanical properties of fasteners made of carbon steel and alloy steel Part 1: Bolts, screws and studs with specified property classes Coarse thread and fine
 pitch thread.
- [33] EN 15048-1. Non preloaded structural bolting assemblies. General requirements. CEN,
 2007.

- [34] Berenbak J. Evaluation tightening preloaded bolt assemblies according to EN 1090-2.
 CEN/TC 135 WG2, June 2012.
- [35] Iyama J, Seo CY, Ricles JM and Sause R. (2009), "Self-centering MRFs with Bottom Flange
 Friction Devices under Earthquake Loading," Journal of Constructional Steel Research, 65
 (2):314–325.
- [36] MacRae, G. A., Urmson, C. R., Walpole, W. R., Moss, P., Hyde K. and Clifton, C. (2009)
 "Axial Shortening of Steel Columns in Buildings Subjected to Earthquakes," Bulletin
 NZSEE, vol. 42, p. 275.
- [37] Borzouie, J., MacRae, G.A., Chase, J.G., Rodgers, G.W., Clifton, G.C. (2015). "Column base
 weak axis aligned asymmetric friction connection cyclic performance", 8th International
 Conference on Behavior of Steel Structures in Seismic Areas Shanghai, China, July 1-3.
- [38] Silva L., (2016). "Design of a "Free From Damage" Base Column Connection for Seismic
 Actions", Msc Thesis in Civil Engineering, FCTUC Universidade de Coimbra, July 2016.
 Supervisors: Aldina Santiago, Luis Simões
- [39] Khoo, H-H., Clifton, C., Butterworth, J., and Macrae, G. (2013). "Experimental Study of FullScale Self-Centering Sliding Hinge Joint Connections with Friction Ring Springs". Journal
 of Earthquake Engineering, Vol. 17, pp. 972-997.
- [40] Zhang, A-I., Zhang, Y-X, Li, R., Wang, Z-Y. (2016). "Cyclic behaviour of a prefabricated selfcentering beam-column connection with a bolted web friction device". Engineering
 Structures, Vol. 111.
- [41] Chi, H., & Liu, J. (2012). Seismic behavior of post-tensioned column base for steel selfcentering moment resisting frame. Journal of Constructional Steel Research, 78, 117-130.
- [42] Baiguera, M., Vasdravellis, G., Karavasilis, T.L. Dual seismic-resistant steel frame with
 high post-yield stiffness energy-dissipative braces for residual drift reduction (2016).
 Journal of Constructional Steel Research, 122, pp. 198-212.
- [43] Dimopoulos, A.I., Karavasilis, T.L., Vasdravellis, G., Uy, B. Seismic design, modelling and
 assessment of self-centering steel frames using post-tensioned connections with web
 hourglass shape pins (2013) Bulletin of Earthquake Engineering, 11 (5), pp. 1797-1816.
- [44] Vasdravellis, G., Karavasilis, T.L., Uy, B. Finite element models and cyclic behavior of selfcentering steel post-tensioned connections with web hourglass pins (2013) Engineering
 Structures, 52, pp. 1-16.

- [45] T.T. Soong, B.F. Spencer, Supplemental energy dissipation: state-of-the-art and state-of the-practice, Engineering Structures, Volume 24, Issue 3, 2002, Pages 243-259
- [46] Chen L., Jinbao, J., Huage, J., Honguy, Z. "Hydraulic friction damper with adjustable friction
 force" CN201611113822 20161207
- [47] A Semi-Active Electromagnetic Friction Damper for Response Control of Structures A. K.
 Agrawal ; and J. N. Yang Advanced Technology in Structural Engineering . 2000
- [48] Latour M, Piluso V, Rizzano G. (2014). Experimental Analysis of Friction Materials for
 supplemental damping devices. Construction and Building Materials.
- [49] W. Loo, W., Quenneville, P. and Chouw N. (2015). "Steel friction connectors the dangers
 of excess smoothing", Proceedings of the NZSEE Conference.
- [50] Pall, A. & Marsh, C., 1981. Response of Friction Damped Braced Frames. Journal of the
 Structural Division, 108(6), pp.1313-23.
- [51] Tremblay, R. & Stiemer, S., 1993. Energy Dissipation through Friction Bolted Connections
 in Concentrically Braced Steel Frames. ATC 17-1 Seminar on Seismic Isolation, 2, pp.55768.
- [52] Mualla, I. & Belev, B., 2002. Seismic Response of Steel Frames Equipped with a New
 Friction Damper Device Under Earthquake Excitation. Engineering Structures, 24(3),
 pp.365-71.
- [53] Wei Y. Loo, Pierre Quenneville, Nawawi Chouw, A new type of symmetric slip-friction
 connector, Journal of Constructional Steel Research, Volume 94, 2014, Pages 11-22
- [54] G.W. Rodgers, J.G. Chase, R. Causse, J. Chanchi, G.A. MacRae, Performance and degradation
 of sliding steel friction connections: Impact of velocity, corrosion coating and shim ma terial, Engineering Structures, Volume 141, 15 June 2017, Pages 292-302
- [55] Paolo Negro, Marco Lamperti Tornaghi, Seismic response of precast structures with
 vertical cladding panels: The SAFECLADDING experimental campaign, Engineering
 Structures, Volume 132, 1 February 2017, Pages 205-228
- [56] Bruno Dal Lago, Fabio Biondini, Giandomenico Toniolo, Friction-based dissipative
 devices for precast concrete panels, Engineering Structures, Volume 147, 15 September
 2017, Pages 356-371

- [57] Gledhill, S.M., Sidwell, G.K., Bell, D.K., The Damage Avoidance Design of tall steel frame
 buildings Fairlie Terrace Student Accommodation Project", Victoria University of
 Wellington
- [58] MacRae, G. A., Urmson, C. R., Walpole, W. R., Moss, P., Hyde K. and Clifton, C. (2009)
 "Axial Shortening of Steel Columns in Buildings Subjected to Earthquakes," Bulletin
 NZSEE, vol. 42, p. 275.
- [59] Borzouie, J., MacRae, G.A., Chase, J.G., Rodgers, G.W., Clifton, G.C. (2015). "Column base
 weak axis aligned asymmetric friction connection cyclic performance", 8th International
 Conference on Behavior of Steel Structures in Seismic Areas Shanghai, China, July 1-3.
- [60] Elkady, Lignos Full-Scale Testing of Deep Wide-Flange Steel Columns under Multiaxis
 Cyclic Loading: Loading Sequence, Boundary Effects, and Lateral Stability Bracing Force
 Demands Ahmed
- [61] ANSI/AISC 341-16 (2016), "Seismic Provisions for Structural Steel Buildings". Chicago,
 Illinois, USA.
- [62] Ferrante Cavallaro G., Francavilla A., Latour M., Piluso V, Rizzano G (2018). "Cyclic
 Behaviour of Friction materials for low yielding connections". November 2018Soil
 Dynamics and Earthquake Engineering 114:404-423
- [63] Montuori R, Nastri E, Piluso V, Streppone S, D'Aniello M, Zimbru M, Landolfo R (2018).
 "Comparison Between Different Design Strategies For Freedam Frames: Push-Overs and Ida Analyses". The Open Civil Engineering Journal, Vol.12, 140-153
- [64] Wald F, Sokol Z, Steenhuis M, Jaspart JP, "Component method for steel column bases".
 HERON journal. 53-12
- [65] Mc Cormik, J, Aburano, H, Ikenaga, M, Nakashima, M, (2008) "Permissible residual
 deformation levels for building structures considering both safety and huma elements".
 14th WCEE, Beijing, China.
- [66] P. Shing, P. and S. Mahin, (1984) "Pseudodynamic test method for seismic performance
 evaluation: Theory and implementation," Earthquake Engineering Research Center
 UCB/EERC-8'1/01, University of California, Berkeley
- [67] Longo A., Nastri E., Piluso V. (2015) "Theory of Plastic Mechanism Control: State of the
 art." The Open Construction and Building Technology Journal 8(1):262-278

- [68] Seismosoft, "SeismoStruct A computer program for static and dynamic nonlinear
 analysis of framed structures". Available from URL: www.seismosoft.com
- [69] Chisari, C., Francavilla, A.B., Latour, M., Piluso, V., Rizzano, G., Amadio, C. (2017) Critical
 issues in parameter calibration of cyclic models for steel members. Engineering
 Structures, 132, pp. 123-138.
- 805 [70] Sivaselvan MV, Reinhorn AM. (2000) Hysteretic models for deteriorating inelastic
 806 structures. J Eng Mech 2000;126(6):633–40.
- [71] Tenchini A., D'Aniello M., Rebelo C., Landolfo R., da Silva L.S., Lima L. (2014). Seismic
 performance of dual-steel moment resisting frames. Journal of Constructional Steel
 Research, Volume 101, October 2014, pp. 437-454.
- 810 [72] Cassiano D., D'Aniello M., Rebelo C., Landolfo R., da Silva L. (2016). Influence of seismic
- 811 design rules on the robustness of steel moment resisting frames. Steel and Composite
- 812 Structures, An International Journal, Volume 21, Number 3, , pp. 479-500, June30 2016.

813