

The Quest for Converting Biorenewable Bifunctional α -Methylene- γ -butyrolactone into Degradable and Recyclable Polyester: Controlling Vinyl-Addition/Ring-Opening/Cross-Linking Pathways

Xiaoyan Tang,[†] Miao Hong,[†] Laura Falivene,[‡] Lucia Caporaso,[§] Luigi Cavallo,^{*,‡,§} and Eugene Y.-X.

Chen^{*,†}

[†] *Department of Chemistry, Colorado State University, Fort Collins, Colorado 80523-1872, USA*

[‡] *King Abdullah University of Science and Technology (KAUST), Physical Sciences and Engineering*

Division, Kaust Catalysis Center, Thuwal 23955-6900, Saudi Arabia

[§] *Dipartimento di Chimica e Biologia, Università di Salerno, Via Papa Paolo Giovanni II, I-84084,*

Fisciano, Italy

ABSTRACT: α -Methylene- γ -butyrolactone (MBL), a naturally occurring and biomass-sourced bifunctional monomer, contains both a highly reactive exocyclic C=C bond and a highly stable five-membered γ -butyrolactone ring. Thus, all previous work led to exclusive vinyl-addition polymerization (VAP) product P(MBL)_{VAP}. Now, this work reverses this conventional chemoselectivity to enable the first ring-opening polymerization (ROP) of MBL, thereby producing exclusively unsaturated polyester P(MBL)_{ROP} with M_n up to 21.0 kg/mol. This elusive goal was achieved through uncovering the thermodynamic, catalytic, and processing conditions. A third reaction pathway has also been discovered, which is cross-over propagation between VAP and ROP processes, thus affording cross-linked polymer P(MBL)_{CLP}. The formation of the three types of polymers, P(MBL)_{VAP}, P(MBL)_{CLP}, and P(MBL)_{ROP}, can be readily controlled by adjusting the catalyst (La)/initiator (ROH) ratio, which is determined by the unique chemoselectivity of the La-X (X = OR, NR₂, R) group. The resulting P(MBL)_{ROP} is degradable and can be readily post-functionalized into cross-linked or thiolated materials but, more remarkably, it can also be fully recycled back to its monomer thermochemically. Computational studies provided the theoretical

* Corresponding author. E-mails: luigi.cavallo@kaust.edu.sa; eugene.chen@colostate.edu

basis for, and a mechanistic understanding of, the three different polymerization processes and the origin of the chemoselectivity.

Introduction

Recent efforts toward the development of sustainable polymers have been chiefly directed at renewable polymers based on naturally occurring or biomass-derived renewable feedstocks.¹ However, an emerging frontier in sustainable polymers is the design and synthesis of recyclable polymers that can be completely depolymerized to their monomers either thermally,² mechanically,³ or chemically,⁴ the recovered building blocks of which can then be reused to produce virgin quality polymers. The task of developing completely recyclable polymers is a challenge; using the biorenewable and biodegradable poly(L-lactide) as an example, thermal degradation of this polymer as a possible feedstock-recycling pathway produced many kinds of degradation products including lactide diastereomers, cyclic oligomers and their diastereomers, as well as small molecules such as CO₂, CO, CH₃CHO, and CH₂=CHCOOH.⁵ Chemical recyclability is essential for developing truly sustainable polymers that are not only biorenewable and degradable but also recyclable and accessible via a greener or sustainable process,⁶ thereby achieving the possible circular economy. However, the classes of fully recyclable polymers are very limited, and the polymers that can be easily depolymerized often suffer from their undesired thermal and mechanical properties for poor materials performance. Thus, more intensive research is needed to identify new renewable polymer systems that can strike a balance between their recyclability and thermal stability and/or mechanical strength.

Found naturally in tulips or produced chemically from biomass feedstocks, tulipalin A, or α -methylene- γ -butyrolactone (MBL), is the simplest member of the sesquiterpene lactone family⁷ and the most studied monomer of the bio-based tulipalin family for biorenewable polymers.⁸ MBL, along with its methyl-substituted derivatives, γ -methyl- α -methylene- γ -butyrolactone (γ -MMBL)⁹ and β -methyl- α -methylene- γ -butyrolactone (β -MMBL),¹⁰ derived from biomass-sourced levulinic and itaconic acids,


respectively, exhibits higher reactivity and forms polymers with superior materials properties, relative to its linear analog methyl methacrylate (MMA), thereby receiving a renaissance of interest in the prospects of offering a renewable alternative to the petroleum-based MMA for the production of specialty chemicals and acrylic bioplastics.⁸ For example, the atactic PMMA has a typical glass-transition temperature (T_g) of 105 °C and is soluble in common organic solvents, whereas the polymers derived from MBL, γ MMBL, and β MMBL exhibit much higher T_g 's of 195 °C, 227 °C, and 288 °C, respectively, and are more solvent resistant. In this context, various types of polymerization processes have been employed to polymerize such renewable methylene lactones, including radical,¹¹ anionic,¹² zwitterionic,¹³ group-transfer,¹⁴ organocatalytic,¹⁵ and coordination¹⁶ polymerization methods. Regardless of the method, however, the processes reported to date follow *exclusively the vinyl-addition polymerization* (VAP) pathway to produce the corresponding VAP polymer, P(MBL)_{VAP}, through conjugate addition across the highly reactive exocyclic C=C double bond, without ring-opening of the highly stable five-membered γ -butyrolactone (γ -BL) ring.

An intriguing fundamental question is whether one could reverse this conventional chemoselectivity by turning on the ring-opening polymerization (ROP) of MBL while shutting down the VAP process, which would enable the synthesis of biorenewable *and* degradable unsaturated polyester, the corresponding ROP polymer, P(MBL)_{ROP} (Scheme 1). Such unsaturated polyester will provide a needed functional (reactive double bond) handle for post-functionalization to tailor-made polyester materials,¹⁷ which currently lacks in the aliphatic polyesters prepared by the ROP of typical lactones and lactides.¹⁸ Chemoselective ROP of an *exo*-methylene macrolactone, 2-methylene-4-oxa-12-dodecanolide, was achieved with lipase catalysis.¹⁹ However, achieving this objective will have to meet *two challenges*: the high reactivity of the exocyclic C=C double bond (*vide supra*) and the high stability of the γ -BL ring (*vide infra*) present in MBL, both of which point to the exclusive VAP pathway observed to date. In fact, the non-strained γ -BL was commonly referred as "non-polymerizable" in textbooks²⁰ and literature.²¹ The

non-polymerizability observed in the ROP of γ -BL under ambient pressure²² can be explained by its unfavorable thermodynamics as there was a large negative entropic change (ΔS_p°) and a small positive change of enthalpy (ΔH_p°) (which was later showed to be small negative, therefore exhibiting a low ceiling temperature of polymerization²) that gave rise to a positive Gibbs free energy of polymerization.²³ Recently, we discovered thermodynamic, catalytic, and processing conditions that enabled the first successful chemical ROP of γ -BL into high molecular weight (MW) poly(γ -BL), P γ BL, with controlled linear or cyclic topologies and complete thermal recyclability by simply heating the bulking material at 220 °C (for the linear polymer) or 300 °C (for the cyclic polymer), under readily accessible conditions (i.e., 1 atm, -40 °C, THF).² In that process, metal (La, Y)-catalyzed coordination ROP was found to be the most effective method to achieve high MW P γ BL and high monomer conversion. Most recently, we also reported the organocatalytic ROP of γ -BL to high MW P γ BL, establishing P γ BL as a truly sustainable material.⁶

However, enabling the ROP of the bifunctional MBL, known to favor VAP and resist ROP, is even more challenging, due to the additional obstacle to control the chemoselectivity. A known strategy to ring-open polymerize MBL is to copolymerize it with a lactone having high ring strain energy, such as ϵ -caprolactone (ϵ -CL).^{24,25} This ring-opening copolymerization (ROC) approach has indeed produced copolyester P(MBL)_{ROP-CO}-PCL. Interestingly, we found that, while performing the ROC by Bi(OTf)₃ at 130 °C²⁴ led to a mixture of ROP copolymer P(MBL)_{ROP-CO}-PCL and VAP homopolymer P(MBL)_{VAP},²⁵ carrying out the ROC by lanthanide (Ln)-based coordination polymerization catalysts at sub-zero temperatures greatly favored incorporation of the ring-opened MBL into the copolyester, thus achieving P(MBL)_{ROP-CO}-PCL with the MBL incorporation up to 40 mol% and without formation of P(MBL)_{VAP}.²⁵ Based on these earlier results, we hypothesized that uncovering the *thermodynamic, catalytic, and processing conditions* could eventually lead to a homopolymerization system that renders the ROP of MBL to produce exclusively unsaturated polyester P(MBL)_{ROP}, the central objective of this work, which

has been achieved for the first time through this study. In addition, by judiciously choosing the catalyst/initiator ratio and reaction conditions, VAP and ROP can both occur and cross-propagate in the polymerization, thus leading to cross-linked polymer P(MBL)_{CLP}. Furthermore, As MBL contains the γ -BL ring, we reasoned that the resulting ROP polymer P(MBL)_{ROP} should be readily recyclable just like P γ BL. Indeed, P(MBL)_{ROP} can not only be readily post-functionalized via photocuring and the thiol-ene click reaction, and it can also be fully recycled back to the monomer MBL after heating its solution at ≥ 100 °C for 1 h in the presence of a simple catalyst (Scheme 1).



Scheme 1. The VAP of MBL of all previous work vs. the ROP and CLP of MBL of the current work that led to either recyclable and post-functionalizable unsaturated polyester P(MBL)_{ROP} or cross-linked polymer P(MBL)_{CLP}.

Results and Discussion

Chemoselectivity of MBL polymerization: VAP vs. ROP vs. CLP. At the outset, lanthanum complex La[N(SiMe₃)₂]₃ (**1**, Chart 1), which has been shown to be an effective catalyst for the ROP of γ -BL,² was used for initial screening of MBL polymerization. As anticipated, at room temperature it mediated rapid VAP, with or without addition of initiator BnOH, thus leading to exclusive formation of P(MBL)_{VAP} [runs 1–4, Table S1, Figure 1-(iii)]. To realize the possible ROP of MBL, subsequent polymerizations were conducted at low temperatures, ranging from -40 °C to -78 °C in THF, with different alcohol initiators, and in varied [MBL]/[La]/[ROH] ratios, the results of which were summarized

in Table 1. At $-60\text{ }^{\circ}\text{C}$ with a 1.0 mol% loading of **1** and $[\text{MBL}] = 5.0\text{ M}$ (the maximum concentration achievable in THF at this temperature), the polymerization still gave the VAP product $\text{P}(\text{MBL})_{\text{VAP}}$ (run 1, Table 1). As alcohols react readily with lanthanide amides via *in situ* alcoholysis to generate Ln alkoxides, which usually exhibit superior performances and mediate more controllable ROP of cyclic esters than the corresponding amido analogues,^{2,26,27,28} we introduced alcohol into the catalyst system. Interestingly, with $\text{La}/\text{BnOH} = 1/1$, only insoluble, cross-linked polymer product $\text{P}(\text{MBL})_{\text{CLP}}$ was obtained (run 2). Changing the La/BnOH ratio to 1/2, a mixture of CLP, VAP and ROP polymer products was produced (run 3). *Excitingly*, the exclusive formation of ROP product $\text{P}(\text{MBL})_{\text{ROP}}$ was achieved with $\text{La}/\text{BnOH} = 1/3$ (runs 4–6). Under such conditions, the $\text{P}(\text{MBL})_{\text{ROP}}$ produced had a low MW of $M_n = 5.5\text{ kg/mol}$ and a narrow MW distribution of $D = 1.16$ (run 6). Changing the $\text{MBL}/\text{La}/\text{BnOH}$ ratio from 100/1/3 to 200/1/3 enhanced the MW of $\text{P}(\text{MBL})_{\text{ROP}}$ to $M_n = 10.4\text{ kg/mol}$ (run 7). Overall, the above results indicate that the $\text{La}-\text{NR}_2$ group exhibits a preference for VAP, while the $\text{La}-\text{OBn}$ group favors ROP. A control run with the isolated $[\text{La}(\text{OBn})_3]_n$ led to formation of the same ROP product $\text{P}(\text{MBL})_{\text{ROP}}$ (run 8), thus supporting the above hypothesis. The theoretical basis for the observed chemoselectivity of the MBL polymerization with respect to the type of initiators (i.e., $\text{La}-\text{NR}_2$ vs. $\text{La}-\text{OR}$) will be discussed in the computational study section.




Chart 1. Three metal-based catalysts, homoleptic La complex **1** and heteroleptic discrete single-site Y complexes **2** and **3** employed in this study.




Figure 1. Overlay of ^1H NMR spectra ($\text{DMSO-}d_6$, residual peak marked as *) of MBL (i), $\text{P(MBL)}_{\text{ROP}}$ (ii), and $\text{P(MBL)}_{\text{VAF}}$ (iii).

Table 1. Results of MBL Polymerization in THF by Ln/ROH Catalyst/Initiator Systems ^a

Run #	Catalyst	Initiator (I)	[MBL]/[cat]/[I]	MBL (M)	Temp (°C)	Time (h)	Yield ^b (mg (%))	M_n ^c (kg/mol)	\bar{D} ^c (M_w/M_n)	Chemoselectivity (polymer type)
1	1	-	100/1	5.0	-60	3	110 (22)	54.2	2.85	VAP
2	1	BnOH	100/1/1	5.0	-60	24	74 (15)	n.d.	n.d.	CLP
3	1	BnOH	100/1/2	5.0	-60	24	100 (20)	n.d.	n.d.	VAP+ROP+CLP
4	1	BnOH	100/1/3	5.0	-60	12	51 (10)	5.1	1.16	ROP
5	1	BnOH	100/1/3	5.0	-60	17	145 (30)	5.0	1.26	ROP
6	1	BnOH	100/1/3	5.0	-60	24	158 (32)	5.5	1.16	ROP
7	1	BnOH	200/1/3	5.0	-60	24	156 (32)	10.4	1.28	ROP
8	-	[La(OBn) ₃] _n	100/1	5.0	-60	24	108 (22)	5.2	1.21	ROP
9	1	BnOH	100/1/3	2.0	-60	24	trace	n.d.	n.d.	CLP
10	1	BnOH	100/1/3	2.0	-78	24	9 (1.8)	n.d.	n.d.	CLP
11	1	BnOH	100/1/3	8.0	-40	24	95 (19)	5.0	1.28	ROP
12	1	BnOH	100/1/3	5.0	-40	24	14 (2.9)	n.d.	n.d.	CLP
13	1	BnOH	100/1/2	8.0	-40	16	409 (84)	n.d.	n.d.	CLP
14	1	BnOH	100/1/2	5.0	-40	16	320 (65)	n.d.	n.d.	VAP+CLP
15	1	Ph ₂ CHCH ₂ OH	100/1/1	5.0	-60	24	108 (22)	n.d.	n.d.	VAP+ROP+CLP
16	1	Ph ₂ CHCH ₂ OH	100/1/2	5.0	-60	12	184 (38)	n.d.	n.d.	VAP+ROP+CLP
17	1	Ph ₂ CHCH ₂ OH	100/1/3	5.0	-60	12	136 (28)	5.8	1.23	ROP
18 ^d	1	Ph ₂ CHCH ₂ OH	100/1/3	5.0	-60	24	209 (43)	5.3	1.24	ROP
19	1	Ph ₂ CHCH ₂ OH	200/1/3	5.0	-60	24	205 (42)	6.7	1.17	ROP
20	1	Ph ₂ CHCH ₂ OH	100/1/3	8.0	-40	24	59 (12)	5.3	1.21	ROP
21	1	ⁱ PrOH	100/1/1	5.0	-60	24	152 (31)	n.d.	n.d.	VAP+ROP+CLP
22	1	ⁱ PrOH	100/1/2	5.0	-60	16	161 (33)	n.d.	n.d.	VAP+ROP+CLP
23	1	ⁱ PrOH	100/1/3	5.0	-60	12	66 (14)	4.6	1.45	ROP
24	1	ⁱ PrOH	100/1/3	5.0	-60	24	172 (35)	4.7	1.15	ROP
25	1	ⁱ PrOH	200/1/3	5.0	-60	24	155 (32)	5.6	1.19	ROP
26	2	-	100/1	5.0	-60	4	140 (29)	16.9	1.53	ROP
27	2	-	100/1	5.0	-60	6	170 (35)	14.3	1.79	ROP
28	2	-	100/1	5.0	-60	12	251 (51)	18.3	1.75	ROP
29	2	-	200/1	5.0	-60	5	138 (28)	18.3	1.76	ROP
30	2	-	200/1	5.0	-60	12	198 (40)	21.0	1.75	ROP
31 ^e	2	-	100/1	5.0	-60	12	2700 (55)	21.0	1.42	ROP
32	3	-	100/1/-	5.0	-60	24	24 (4.9)	10.6	1.19	ROP

^a Conditions: MBL = 0.49 g (5.0 mmol); the catalyst and initiator amount varied according to [MBL]/[cat]/[I] molar ratio; n.d. = not determined (M_n and \bar{D} not determined due to cross-linking). ^b Isolated polymer yield. ^c M_n and \bar{D} determined by GPC at 40 °C in DMF relative to PMMA standards. ^d The monomer conversion was 52%. No polymer precipitation occurred with 1 h, but occurred after a few hours. The reaction mixture was solidified within 12 h. ^e MBL = 4.9 g (50 mmol), [MBL] = 5.0 M in THF (5.6 mL).

In addition to the above discussed catalyst (La)/initiator (ROH) ratio, four other factors, including *concentration, temperature, initiator, and catalyst*, also critically affect the chemoselectivity of the MBL polymerization thus the resulting polymer type. *First*, relative to the current standard conditions ([MBL]/[La]/[BnOH] = 100/1/3, [MBL] = 5.0 M in THF, -60 °C) that produced P(MBL)_{ROP}, decreasing [MBL] from 5.0 M to 2.0 M while keeping other conditions the same resulted in formation of only a small amount of cross-linked polymer P(MBL)_{CLP} (run 9); this hold true even when the polymerization was carried out at -78 °C (run 10). *Second*, increasing the temperature to -40 °C gave only cross-linked polymer P(MBL)_{CLP} (runs 12–14), unless [MBL] was also increased to 8.0 M under which conditions P(MBL)_{ROP} can still be produced (run 11). *Third*, the chemoselectivity observed for the other two common alcohol initiators, Ph₂CHCH₂OH and ⁱPrOH (runs 15–25), was the same as that seen for BnOH, that is, under the current standard conditions, only when {[MBL]/[La]/[ROH] = 100/1/3, pure P(MBL)_{ROP} was produced. Among the three ROH initiators, the sterically bulkier alcohol Ph₂CHCH₂OH achieved the highest monomer conversion (52%) and thus gave the best isolated ROP product yield of 43% (run 18), which is attributable to its steric bulk that can effectively suppress the aggregation of the resulting [La(OCH₂CHPh₂)₃]_n active species to form higher clusters.² *Fourth*, discrete, single-site yttrium alkyl complex **2** (Chart 1, Figure S1),²⁶ supported by a tetradentate, dianionic amino-bisphenolate ligand bearing a pendant ether group and previously revealed as a superior catalyst for the ROP of γ -BL,² also gave the best performance in the ROP of MBL (runs 26–31), producing P(MBL)_{ROP} with M_n up to 21.0 kg/mol [run 30, Figure 1-(ii)]. A multi-gram scale of the ROP of MBL (4.90 g) by **2** produced exclusively P(MBL)_{ROP} with M_n = 21.0 kg/mol and \bar{D} = 1.42 in 55% isolated yield (run 31). Intriguingly, a minor yet subtle change in the Y catalyst structure from the pendant ether group in **2** to the pendant amino group in **3** (Figure S2)²⁷ resulted in a drastic drop in the ROP product yield to only 4.9% (run 32).

Thermodynamic and Mechanistic Considerations. To shed light on why the ROP of MBL requires a low temperature and a high monomer concentration, typically at -60 °C and 5.0 M, we

measured the thermodynamics of the polymerization under conditions of $\text{MBL}/\mathbf{1}/\text{Ph}_2\text{CHCH}_2\text{OH} = 100/1/3$ and $[\text{MBL}]_0 = 5.0 \text{ M}$ in CD_2Cl_2 , through variable temperature NMR studies at low temperatures. Worth noting here is that, in contrast to the polymerizations carried out in THF (Table 1), which were performed under heterogeneous, non-equilibrium conditions where the resulting polymer precipitates out of the reaction medium so that the conversion can far exceed the thermodynamic limit, the current experiments of measuring the thermodynamic parameters were performed in CD_2Cl_2 (the resulting ring-opening polymer is soluble), ensuring a homogenous, equilibrium condition for this measurement. First, the equilibrium monomer concentration, $[\text{MBL}]_{\text{eq}}$, was obtained by plotting $[\text{MBL}]_t$ as a function time until the concentration reached a constant (Table S2, Figure S6a). Next, a Van't Hoff plot of $\ln[\text{MBL}]_{\text{eq}}$ vs. $1/T$ gave a straight line (Figure S6b), from which thermodynamic parameters were calculated to be $\Delta H_p = -5.9 \text{ kJ}\cdot\text{mol}^{-1}$ and $\Delta S_p^\circ = -40.1 \text{ J}\cdot\text{mol}^{-1}\cdot\text{K}^{-1}$, based on the equation $\ln[\text{MBL}]_{\text{eq}} = \Delta H_p/RT - \Delta S_p^\circ/R$ and in reference to a standard state concentration of monomer of 1 M .^{23b} Third, the ceiling temperature (T_c) was calculated to be 221 K ($-52 \text{ }^\circ\text{C}$) at $[\text{MBL}]_0 = 5.0 \text{ M}$, or 147 K ($-126 \text{ }^\circ\text{C}$) at $[\text{MBL}]_0 = 1.0 \text{ M}$, based on the equation $T_c = \Delta H_p/(\Delta S_p^\circ + R\ln[\text{MBL}]_0)$.^{23b} Overall, these values are consistent with the reaction conditions observed experimentally that enabled the ROP of MBL.

To gain insight into the mechanism of the ROP, we determined chain initiation and termination end groups of $\text{P}(\text{MBL})_{\text{ROP}}$ produced by $\mathbf{1}/\text{ROH}$ (1/3 ratio) with matrix-assisted laser desorption/ionization time-of-flight mass spectroscopy (MALDI-TOF MS) and ^1H NMR. As can be seen from Figures S3–S5, the MALDI-TOF MS spectra of the $\text{P}(\text{MBL})_{\text{ROP}}$ samples prepared with BnOH , $\text{Ph}_2\text{CHCH}_2\text{OH}$, and $i\text{PrOH}$ showed the same spacing between the two neighboring molecular ion peaks being that of the exact molar mass of the repeat unit, MBL ($m/z = 98.04$), as shown by the slopes of the linear plots of m/z values (y) vs the number of MBL repeat units (x). The intercepts of the plots, 131, 221 and 83, indicated that each $[(\text{MBL})_{\text{ROP}}]_n^-$ chain carries RO/H as chain ends [$M_{\text{end}} = 108$ (BnO/H) + 23 (Na^+) g/mol; $M_{\text{end}} = 198$ ($\text{Ph}_2\text{CHCH}_2\text{O}/\text{H}$) + 23 (Na^+) g/mol; $M_{\text{end}} = 60$ ($i\text{PrO}/\text{H}$) + 23 (Na^+) g/mol], denoted as $\text{RO}-[(\text{MBL})_{\text{ROP}}]_n^-$

H. Likewise, the same analysis of a low MW P(MBL)_{ROP} sample by yttrium alkyl **2** with MALDI-TOF MS (Figure 2) revealed the predicted end groups of Me₃SiCH₂/H: M_{end} (intercept) = 88 (Me₃SiCH₂/H) + 23 (Na⁺) = 111 g/mol for the chain structure of Me₃SiCH₂–[(MBL)_{ROP}]_n–H. The corresponding ¹H NMR spectra of RO–[(MBL)_{ROP}]_n–H are depicted in Figure 3, showing, besides the major signals at δ 6.09, 5.71, 4.20 and 2.58 ppm for the main chain protons –[C(=O)C(=CH₂^(6.09, 5.71))CH₂^(2.58)CH₂^(4.20) O]_n–, minor signals attributed to the chain ends (RO/H). Overall, these results are consistent with the coordination-insertion mechanism for chain initiation and propagation steps (Scheme 2), analogous to that proposed for the ROP of typical cyclic esters¹⁸ and γ-BL.²




Figure 2. MALDI-TOF spectrum of P(MBL)_{ROP} produced with yttrium alkyl complex **2**. Inset: plot of *m/z* values (*y*) vs the number of MBL repeat units (*x*).






Figure 3. ^1H NMR spectra ($\text{DMSO-}d_6$) of $\text{RO-}[(\text{MBL})_{\text{ROP}}]_n\text{-H}$ by $\mathbf{1}/\text{ROH}$ (1/3): (i) $\text{R} = \text{PhCH}_2$, (ii) $\text{R} = \text{Ph}_2\text{CHCH}_2$, (iii) $\text{R} = i\text{Pr}$.



Scheme 2. Proposed mechanism for the ROP of MBL by $\mathbf{1}$ in the presence of 3 equiv. ROH ($\text{R} = \text{Bn}$, Ph_2CHCH_2 , $i\text{Pr}$).

Another intriguing aspect of the MBL polymerization is that the polymerization by $\mathbf{1}/\text{BnOH}$ in a 1:1 ratio at $-60\text{ }^\circ\text{C}$ produced insoluble cross-linked polymer product $\text{P}(\text{MBL})_{\text{CLP}}$ (*vide supra*). This network polymer was shown to contain mainly the $\text{P}(\text{MBL})_{\text{VAP}}$ chains, as evidenced by their nearly identical FT-IR

spectra (Figure S7) and thermal gravimetric analysis (TGA) profiles (Figure S8) between the cross-linked $P(\text{MBL})_{\text{CLP}}$ and the linear $P(\text{MBL})_{\text{VAP}}$. On the other hand, their differential scanning calorimetry (DSC) curves (Figure S9) are noticeably different, with a T_g of 180.4 °C and 192.9 °C for $P(\text{MBL})_{\text{CLP}}$ and $P(\text{MBL})_{\text{VAP}}$, respectively, which is consistent with some more flexible ring-opened ester segments being incorporated into $P(\text{MBL})_{\text{CLP}}$. Based on the above results and the observations that the La–OR group prefers ROP and the La–NR₂ group prefers VAP, the putative mechanism proposed for the crosslinking process is outlined in Scheme 3. *First*, bi-initiation **A**) involves the ring-opening (I) and vinyl-addition (II) pathways via nucleophilic attack of the coordinated (activated) MBL by the –OR and –NR₂ groups to generate $(\text{MBL})_{\text{ROP}}\text{[La]}$ and $(\text{MBL})_{\text{VAP}}\text{[La]}$, respectively. *Second*, homo-propagation **B**) in the VAP pathway leads to the active growing polymer chain $P(\text{MBL})_{\text{VAP}}\text{[La]}$ containing the active ester enolate chain end. Likewise, species $(\text{MBL})_{\text{ROP}}\text{[La]}$ can also proceed with homo-propagation to afford $P(\text{MBL})_{\text{ROP}}\text{[La]}$ containing the active alkoxy chain end. *Third*, cross-propagation **C**) involves intramolecular Michael addition of $P(\text{MBL})_{\text{VAP}}\text{[La]}$ to $(\text{MBL})_{\text{ROP}}\text{[La]}$ or $P(\text{MBL})_{\text{ROP}}\text{[La]}$ via eight-membered-ring transition state III, generally accepted for the metal-mediated coordination-addition polymerization of conjugated polar vinyl monomers,²⁹ producing intermediate IV that contains both VAP and ROP chains as well as both enolate and alkoxy active chain ends for subsequent VAP and ROP processes to furnish cross-linked polymer $P(\text{MBL})_{\text{CLP}}$ (Scheme 3).



Scheme 3. Proposed mechanism for the formation of cross-linked polymer $P(\text{MBL})_{\text{CLP}}$ by $\text{La}[\text{N}(\text{SiMe}_3)_2]_3/\text{BnOH}$ with a molar ratio of 1/1 at $-60\text{ }^\circ\text{C}$.

The key step of this proposed crosslinking process is the *cross-propagation* step involving transition state III (Scheme 3) for the conjugate-addition of the active center (the enolate) on the vinylidene addition chain, $P(\text{MBL})_{\text{VAP}}\text{-[La]}$, to the vinylidene group on the ROP chain, $(\text{MBL})_{\text{ROP}}\text{-[La]}$ or $P(\text{MBL})_{\text{ROP}}\text{-[La]}$. The calculated free energy barrier for this step (Chart 2) amounts to only 8.2 kcal/mol, in agreement with the experimental evidence that cross-linked chains were formed when both NR_2 and OR groups reside on the metal, that is, when both the VAP and the ROP pathways can occur and cross-over.




Chart 2. Calculated transition state for the cross-propagation from the VAP chain end (La ester enolate) to the ROP chain end (La alkoxide and vinylidene group) via conjugate addition.

Thermal Mechanical Properties and Post-functionalization of P(MBL)_{ROP}. Thermal and mechanical properties of P(MBL)_{ROP} produced by the different catalyst/initiator systems were investigated by TGA, DSC, and dynamic mechanical analysis (DMA). TGA and relative derivative thermogravimetry (DTG) curves (Figure S10) of low MW P(MBL)_{ROP} samples ($M_n = 4.7\text{--}5.8$ kg/mol) prepared by **1**/ROH (1/3 ratio) displayed three degradation steps, attributable to extrusion of MBL at the initial step of $T \leq 250$ °C, followed by cross-linking of the pendant double bonds (*vide infra*) and final decomposition. On the other hand, the higher MW P(MBL)_{ROP} prepared by **2** ($M_n = 18.3$ kg/mol) showed only the last two degradation steps, also with a higher initial degradation temperature (T_d , defined by the temperature of 5% weight loss) of 293 °C. The T_g measured by DSC (Figure S11) was in the range of -40.2 to -34.9 °C, which increases as an increase in the polymer MW.

To investigate the possible crosslinking while heating, the DSC of P(MBL)_{ROP} prepared by **2** was scanned to a much higher temperature of 400 °C. It is clear from the first heating scan that P(MBL)_{ROP} began to crosslink at ~ 250 °C and then decompose at ~ 340 °C (Figure S12), after which no subsequent thermal transitions were observed on the first cooling and second heating scan cycles. These results

further confirmed that P(MBL)_{ROP} undergoes thermal crosslinking before decomposition. The casted thin film of P(MBL)_{ROP} ($M_n = 21.0$ kg/mol, $D = 1.42$) was analyzed by DMA (Figure 4), which gave a storage modulus (E') of 3.55 GPa and a loss modulus (E'') of 119 MPa at -100 °C (glassy state), or $E' = 160$ MPa and $E'' = 10.5$ MPa at 25 °C (rubbery state). The T_g obtained from the peak maxima on the loss modulus curve is -21.9 °C, which is about 13 °C higher than that obtained from DSC analysis.




Figure 4. Thermal mechanical spectrum of P(MBL)_{ROP} ($M_n = 21.0$ kg/mol, $D = 1.42$) obtained by yttrium complex **2**: storage modulus E' (green curve), loss modulus E'' (red curve), and $\tan \delta (E''/E')$ (blue curve).

Post-functionalization of the unsaturated polyester P(MBL)_{ROP} produced by **2** was examined with two different approaches. First, photocuring under UV (350 nm) photoradical initiation with photoinitiator 2,2-dimethoxy-2-phenylacetophenone (DMPA) produced an insoluble cross-linked colorless thin film, P(MBL)_{ROP}-*h*_{IV}. The FT-IR spectrum (Figure S13) of the film showed similar features to those of P(MBL)_{ROP}, but with much weaker absorption bands corresponding to the $>C=CH_2$ moiety, suggesting that a considerable amount, but not all, of the vinylidene groups participated in the

crosslinking (Scheme 4). No apparent T_g was observed for the cross-linked film, but its TGA and DTG curves (Figure S14) are similar to that of $P(\text{MBL})_{\text{ROP}}$ (Figure S10-(d)), further confirming the hypothesis of $P(\text{MBL})_{\text{ROP}}$ undergoing thermal crosslinking before decomposition during TGA analysis. On the other hand, photocuring of the low MW $P(\text{MBL})_{\text{ROP}}$ prepared by $\mathbf{1}/\text{BnOH}$ (1/3) yielded $P(\text{MBL})_{\text{ROP-}h\nu}$ with a TGA curve (Figure S15) being different than its precursor [Figure S10-(a)], eliminating the initial MBL extrusion step. Worth noting here is that the cross-linked polymer $P(\text{MBL})_{\text{ROP-}h\nu}$ produced by post-photocuring is different from the cross-linked polymer $P(\text{MBL})_{\text{CLP}}$ as prepared by $\mathbf{1}/\text{BnOH}$ (1/1) at -60 °C, the latter of which contains mainly $P(\text{MBL})_{\text{VAP}}$ chains with some ROP chains incorporated (*vide supra*). The second approach for post-functionalization was readily realized by the thiol-ene click reaction,³⁰ affording completely thiolated polyester $P(\text{MBL})_{\text{ROP-SR}}$ (Scheme 4, Figures 5 and S16).





Figure 5. Overlay of ^1H NMR (CDCl_3) spectra of $P(\text{MBL})_{\text{ROP}}$ and $P(\text{MBL})_{\text{ROP-SR}}$ to show complete conversion of $>\text{C}=\text{CH}_2$ groups present in $P(\text{MBL})_{\text{ROP}}$ to $>\text{C}=\text{CH}_2\text{-SR}$ in $P(\text{MBL})_{\text{ROP-SR}}$.



Scheme 4. Post-functionalization of P(MBL)_{ROP} via photocuring and the thiol-ene click reaction.

Recycling and Degradation of P(MBL)_{ROP}. The possible recycling of P(MBL)_{ROP} back to its building block monomer MBL was investigated by thermal and chemical recycling approaches. As anticipated, heating the bulk material at high temperatures resulted in formation of insoluble polymer products, due to thermally induced crosslinking via the C=C double bonds present in the unsaturated polyester P(MBL)_{ROP} (*vide supra*). Next, the depolymerization was performed at 25 °C in the presence of catalyst **1** to produce initially some MBL, which was subsequently polymerized by **1** into P(MBL)_{VAP}, plus some insoluble cross-linked material (Figure S17). This promising result prompted us to develop a strategy to inhibit the subsequent VAP once the monomer MBL is released from P(MBL)_{ROP}. Excitingly, heating a 0.2 M solution of P(MBL)_{ROP} in DMSO-*d*₆ in the presence of **1** (1 mol%) and water (3.5 mM, added to inhibit polymerization) at 100 °C or 130 °C for 1 h, or 60 °C for 24 h, yielded cleanly the monomer MBL in quantitative yield (Figure 6, Table 2). Simple metal halides such as LaCl₃, which is incapable of reinitiating the VAP of MBL generated from depolymerization, can also be used as the catalyst to achieve complete chemical recycling of P(MBL)_{ROP} back to MBL (Figure S18, Table S3).




Figure 6. ^1H NMR spectra ($\text{DMSO-}d_6$): (a) $\text{P(MBL)}_{\text{ROP}}$ ($M_n = 21.0$ kg/mol); (b) the liquid product obtained after heating the “wet” DMSO solution with 3.5 mM water at 100 °C for 1 h; (c) MBL monomer for comparison. NMR solvent peaks marked as *.

Table 2. Results of Depolymerization of $\text{P(MBL)}_{\text{ROP}}$ in the Presence of 1 in “wet” $\text{DMSO-}d_6$ ^a

[$\text{P(MBL)}_{\text{ROP}}$] ₀ (M)	H_2O conc. in $\text{DMSO-}d_6$ (mM)	Temp. (°C)	Time (h)	Products		
				MBL (%)	$\text{P(MBL)}_{\text{ROP}}$ (%)	$\text{P(MBL)}_{\text{VAP}}$ (%)
0.2	3.5	130	1	100	0	0
0.4	3.5	130	1	84.2	0	15.8
0.6	3.5	130	1	41.9	0	58.1
0.2	3.5	100	1	100	0	0
0.2	3.5	60	1	43.1	56.9	0
0.2	3.5	60	2	63.7	36.3	0
0.2	3.5	60	4	88.1	11.9	0
0.2	3.5	60	6	95.5	4.5	0
0.2	3.5	60	24	100	0	0
0.2	9.5	130	1	41.0	59.0	0
0.2	9.5	130	2	45.6	54.4	0
0.2	9.5	130	12	59.7	40.3	0
0.2	9.5	130	24	65.7	34.3	0
0.4	9.5	130	1	77.9	22.1	0
0.4	9.5	130	2	78.6	21.4	0
0.4	9.5	130	12	88.5	11.5	0
0.4	9.5	130	24	91.4	8.6	0

^a Conditions: $P(\text{MBL})_{\text{ROP}}/\mathbf{1} = 100/1$, the amount of $P(\text{MBL})_{\text{ROP}}$ ($M_n = 21.0$ kg/mol, produced by $\text{MBL}/\mathbf{2} = 100/1$) and $\mathbf{1}$ varied according to initial $P(\text{MBL})_{\text{ROP}}$ concentration and $[P(\text{MBL})_{\text{ROP}}]/[\mathbf{1}]$ molar ratio.

The hydrolytic stability of $P(\text{MBL})_{\text{ROP}}$ in aqueous media was evaluated by submerging the specimens in neutral (DI H_2O), acidic (1 M HCl), and basic (1 M NaOH) solutions under ambient conditions and monitoring the mass loss of the specimens over a period of 120 days. As can be seen from its degradation profiles (Figure 7, Table S4), $P(\text{MBL})_{\text{ROP}}$ readily but gradually degraded in 1 M NaOH, with ~97% of the mass loss after 120 days. In sharp contrast, $P(\text{MBL})_{\text{ROP}}$ is rather stable in both DI H_2O and 1 M HCl, with only about 2–4% mass loss over the same time period. Interestingly, $P(\text{MBL})_{\text{VAP}}$ can also be effectively degraded under basic conditions (1 M NaOH, ~95% mass loss after 120 days, Table S4).





Figure 7. Degradation profiles of $P(\text{MBL})_{\text{ROP}}$ in aqueous media.

Computational Study of MBL Polymerization. The behavior of $\text{La}[\text{N}(\text{SiMe}_3)_2]_3$ and $\text{La}(\text{OR})_3$ ($\text{R} = {}^n\text{Bu}, {}^i\text{Pr}$) as catalysts in the polymerization of MBL was investigated by density functional theory (DFT)

calculations. For both types (amide and alkoxide) of catalysts, we investigated the general reactivity along the VAP and the ROP pathways to clarify in details the chain initiation and propagation *mechanisms* and to explain the effect of the nucleophilic groups attached to La on the polymerization *chemoselectivity*. To reduce the conformational flexibility of the experimentally used OⁿBu and OⁿPr groups in La(OR)₃, we used the OMe group, thus modeling the La(OMe)₃ catalyst. The scenarios considered and the relative free energies (kcal/mol) calculated in THF at T = -60 °C are shown in Scheme 5. The energy profiles of the favored ROP pathway by La(OMe)₃ and the favored VAP pathway by La[N(SiMe₃)₂]₃ are plotted in Figure 8.



Scheme 5. Free energies (kcal/mol in THF at -60 °C) of ROP and VAP processes catalyzed by La[N(SiMe₃)₂]₃ and La(OMe)₃ catalysts.




Figure 8. Free energy profiles (kcal/mol in THF at -60 °C) of the ROP of MBL catalyzed by La(OMe)₃ (black lines) and the VAP of MBL catalyzed by La[N(SiMe₃)₂]₃ (red lines).


Upon coordination of the monomer (M) to the metal (La) to form species **1-La-M** in Scheme 5, two possible chain activation pathways were studied. Along the VAP pathway, one OMe or N(SiMe₃)₂ group of the catalyst attacks the exocyclic carbon atom of the vinylidene moiety in MBL, leading to intermediate **2-VAP** with both oxygens of the monomer coordinated to the metal. Polymerization proceeds with coordination of another monomer to **2-VAP**, leading to **3-VAP**. Nucleophilic attack of the C(α) atom of the activated MBL to the exocyclic carbon atom of the vinylidene moiety in the newly coordinated MBL, via transition state **3-4-VAP**, leads to intermediate **4-VAP**, the process of which mimics the general chain growth step along the VAP pathway. In the ROP pathway, one OR or N(SiMe₃)₂ group of the catalyst attacks the carbonyl carbon atom of MBL leading to species **2-ROP** that evolves to species **3-ROP** through ring opening of the monomer via transition state **2-3-ROP**. The whole coordination-insertion event is repeated in each propagation step with the monomer inserting into the La-O bond, via transition state **4-5-ROP** in Scheme 5.

According to calculations, the propensity of the two catalysts to promote activation along the ROP or the VAP pathway is opposite. Focusing on the selectivity determining **1-2-VAP** and **1-2-ROP** transition states, **1-2-ROP** is favored by almost 4 kcal/mol relative to **1-2-VAP** with La(OMe)₃, whereas **1-2-VAP** is favored by 7.4 kcal/mol relative to **1-2-ROP** with La[N(SiMe₃)₂]₃, indicating that La(OMe)₃ and La[N(SiMe₃)₂]₃ promote the polymerization along the ROP and VAP pathways, respectively. The steric hindrance of the SiMe₃ groups in La[N(SiMe₃)₂]₃ accounts for the strong selectivity favoring attack at the more open vinylidene moiety, since it minimizes steric repulsion with the other SiMe₃ substituents and with the monomer. In terms of absolute energy barriers, they are clearly lower with La(OMe)₃, consistent with the higher polarity of the La–O bond relative to the La–N bond (the atomic charge on the La atom is +1.79e in La[OMe]₃ and +1.69e in La[N(SiMe₃)₂]₃).

Focusing on the favored ROP pathway with $\text{La}(\text{OMe})_3$, the propagation barrier of 12.5 kcal/mol for ring-opening along the generic chain growth step, corresponding to the **4-ROP** to **5-ROP** step, is consistent with the initiation barrier of 12.6 kcal/mol for the **1-La-M** to **2-ROP** to **3-ROP** step. This is an expected result, since in both cases it corresponds to insertion of MBL into a La-alkoxide bond. Differently, for the system bearing the $\text{N}(\text{SiMe}_3)_2$ groups, the propagation barrier from **4-ROP** to **5-ROP** is 7.7 kcal/mol lower than the barrier for the **1-La-M** to **2-ROP** initiation step (i.e. 19.8 vs 27.5 kcal/mol), since initiation involves MBL addition to a La–amide bond, while propagation involves MBL addition to a more polarized La–alkoxide bond. Moreover, the general transition state for MBL addition to a chain– $\text{La}[\text{N}(\text{SiMe}_3)_2]_2$ bond is higher than for addition to a chain– $\text{La}[\text{OMe}]_2$ bond, as consequence of the stronger partial positive charge on the La atom (+1.77e and +1.62e for the system bearing the OMe and the $\text{N}(\text{SiMe}_3)_2$ ligand, respectively).

The challenging thermodynamic scenario for the ROP shown in Scheme 5 and Figure 8 is consistent with low temperature (i.e. -60°C) and high monomer concentration (i.e. 5.0 M) conditions required experimentally to favor the ROP. This behavior is directly related to the reduced reactivity of five-membered lactones toward ring opening, due to the relative low strain energy (i.e. high thermodynamic stability) of the ring. To have an estimate of the energy cost related to the generic monomer addition through the ROP pathway, we calculated the free energy of the reaction shown in Scheme 6. According to the calculations, the forward reaction depicted in Scheme 6 is disfavored by 4.9 kcal/mol in free energy at -60°C , consistently with the low ring strain of MBL, which makes the generic MBL addition step close to thermoneutral in terms of enthalpy but clearly disfavored in terms of entropy. As expected, performing the same analysis at lower temperatures reduces the unfavorable translational and rotational entropic terms, making the forward reaction disfavored by only 1.8 kcal/mol at -126°C and slightly favored by 0.7 kcal/mol, at -150°C . These results are consistent with the observed extremely low ceiling temperature of the ROP of MBL at $[\text{MBL}]_0 = 1.0\text{ M}$ (*vide supra*). Moving to the VAP pathway,

the energy barrier calculated for the general chain growth step from **3-VAP** to **4-VAP**, roughly 2 kcal/mol, is much lower relative to the initiation barrier for both catalysts.



Scheme 6. Reaction used to model the thermodynamics of the generic MBL polymerization reaction.


Overall, these results indicate a clear preference for initiation along the ROP pathway when –OR groups are bound to the metal, and an easier activation along the VAP pathway when bulky –NR₂ groups are bound to the metal. While the preferential activation along the VAP pathway with La[N(SiMe₃)₂]₃ is a clear consequence of the steric bulkiness of the N(SiMe₃)₂ groups, the preferential activation along the ROP activation with La(OMe)₃ deserves a more detailed analysis. To this end, we explored the capability of different nucleophiles to modify the stability of the adducts from addition to the methylenide or to the carbonylic C atoms. The data reported in Table 3 indicate that in the absence of a group activating the C=O moiety, vinyl addition is favored over addition to the carbonyl group. This preference is related to delocalization of the negative charge on the four atoms of the MBL moiety in case of addition to the vinyl group, while the negative charge is substantially localized on the exocyclic O atom in case of addition at the carbonyl group. However, coordination of MBL to the La(OMe)₃ moiety renders OMe[–] attack to the carbonyl group thermodynamically favored by 3.2 kcal/mol (Table 3). Interestingly, the activating capability of a simple H⁺ is comparable to that the La(OMe)₃ moiety.

Table 3. Stability of the adducts deriving from nucleophilic attack to the methylenide (CH₂=C) or to the carbonyl group (C=O) of MBL. Energies in kcal/mol.

Reaction	E (CH ₂ =C)	E (C=O)	ΔE (C=O – CH ₂ =C)
MeO [–] + MBL ⇌ (MeO-MBL) [–]	-23.6	-15.7	7.9
La(OMe) ₃ + MBL ⇌ MeO-MBL-La(OMe) ₂	7.0	3.8	-3.2
MeO [–] + MBL-H ⁺ ⇌ MeO-MBL-H	-74.3	-80.1	-5.8


Next, we examined the behavior of yttrium alkyl species **Y-2** and **Y-3** (Chart 1) as catalysts in the ROP of MBL. The scenario considered and the relative free energies calculated in THF at $-60\text{ }^{\circ}\text{C}$ are shown in Scheme 7. According to our calculations, replacing the coordinated THF by a MBL molecule is slightly endergonic. The **1-2-ROP** transition state is placed 18.5 kcal/mol above the starting precatalyst, consistent with the reduced activity of **Y-2** and **Y-3** relative to $\text{La}(\text{OR})_3$. Remarkably, the initiation event leading to **2-ROP** is thermodynamically favored, by roughly 17 kcal/mol, with **Y-2**, while it is endergonic by roughly 8 kcal/mol with $\text{La}(\text{OMe})_3$ (Scheme 5). To test if this is a consequence of the steric or electronic situation at **Y-2**, we calculated the thermodynamics of the **1-Y-M** to **2-ROP** step with a modified model of **Y-2**, where the migrating $-\text{CH}_2\text{SiMe}_3$ group is replaced by a $-\text{OMe}$ group. According to calculations, migration of the $-\text{OMe}$ group is thermoneutral, which suggests that the main reason is electronic, specifically, in the balance between the strength of the $\text{La}-\text{C}$ bond in the starting **Y-2**, versus the $\text{La}-\text{O}$ bond in **2-ROP**.

As additional tests, we probed initiation along the possible VAP pathway. Consistent with the experimental results, our calculation showed that transition state **1-2-VAP** is 1.2 kcal/mol above transition state **1-2-ROP**. Furthermore, we also calculated initiation with **Y-3** and found transition state **1-2-ROP** with **Y-3** is 0.4 kcal/mol above the same transition state with **Y-2**, in qualitative agreement with the reduced ROP activity of **Y-3**.



Scheme 7. Free energies (kcal/mol in THF at $T = -60\text{ }^{\circ}\text{C}$) associated with the ROP of MBL catalyzed by **Y-2**.

As a final remark, we note that the preferred **1-2-ROP** transition state depicted in Scheme 7 shows the MBL *trans* to the OMe group of the ligand. For this reason, the entire pathway of Scheme 7 is built assuming that coordination of MBL to Y occurs *trans* to the OMe group. The energetic balance between different intermediates along the reaction pathway is shown in Scheme 8. Isomerization between **1-Y-M** and **1'-Y-M** as well as between **4-ROP** and **4'-ROP** can be assumed to occur via dissociation of MBL, while isomerization between **3-ROP** and **3'-ROP** as well as between **5-ROP** and **5'-ROP** can be assumed to occur via dissociation of the coordinated C=O group of the penultimate inserted MBL.



Scheme 8. Free energies (kcal/mol in THF at $T = -60\text{ }^{\circ}\text{C}$) of different isomers of the intermediates along the ROP pathway catalyzed by Y-2.

Conclusions

Through this study, we have uncovered the thermodynamic ($T_c = -52\text{ }^{\circ}\text{C}$, $[\text{MBL}]_0 = 5.0\text{ M}$), catalytic (La and Y catalysts), and processing (THF for polymer precipitation) conditions that enabled the first successful ROP of the biorenewable MBL, achieving exclusively unsaturated polyester $\text{P}(\text{MBL})_{\text{ROP}}$ via the proposed coordination-insertion mechanism. As MBL is a bifunctional monomer containing both the highly reactive exocyclic C=C bond and the highly stable non-strained γ -BL ring, achieving the ROP

of MBL by reversing the conventional VAP chemoselectivity through this work is particularly noteworthy. This work also discovered the third reaction pathway for the polymerization of MBL: cross-over propagation, enabled by co-existing VAP and ROP processes that can also cross-over, which leads to cross-linked polymer product P(MBL)_{CLP} having predominately VAP chains.

Homoleptic lanthanum amide **1** is a versatile catalyst, producing three types of polymers according to the catalyst/initiator ratio: P(MBL)_{VAP}, P(MBL)_{CLP}, and P(MBL)_{ROP} can be readily produced with a **1**/ROH ratio of 1:0, 1:1, and 1:3, respectively. This remarkable product selectivity, as controlled by the **1**/ROH ratio, is originated from the unique chemoselectivity uncovered by this study: the La–NR₂ group leads to the VAP process, while the La–OR group favors the ROP. On the other hand, heteroleptic, discrete single-site yttrium alkyl catalyst/initiator **2** undergoes exclusive ROP and also delivers the best overall performance, producing P(MBL)_{ROP} with M_n up to 21.0 kg/mol.

The thermal analysis showed that P(MBL)_{ROP} exhibits a T_g in the range of –40.2 to –34.9 °C, depending on the polymer MW, and a two-step decomposition profile with an initial T_d of 293 °C (for a sample with $M_n = 18.3$ kg/mol) due to thermal crosslinking before decomposition. P(MBL)_{ROP} can be readily post-functionalized via photocuring and the thiol-ene click reaction into cross-linked or thiolated materials. The hydrolytic stability study revealed that P(MBL)_{ROP} is readily degradable under basic conditions. Most remarkably, P(MBL)_{ROP} can be fully recycled back to its monomer MBL after heating its solution at ≥ 100 °C for 1 h in the presence of a simple catalyst, thus establishing its complete chemical recyclability.

DFT calculations satisfactorily explained the low temperature condition that must be met to achieve the ROP of the MBL. Theoretical investigation of the experimentally observed three different polymerization processes (VAP, ROP, and CLP) involved in the polymerization of MBL revealed that the sterics are responsible for the preference of La–NR₂ group for the VAP pathway, while the electronics are the reason for the favored ROP pathway by La–OR group.

Supplementary Information. Full experimental and computational details as well as additional figures and tables (68 pages, PDF). This material is available free of charge via the Internet at <http://pubs.acs.org>.

Acknowledgments. This work was supported by the US National Science Foundation (NSF-1300267) for the study carried out at Colorado State University and by the funding from King Abdullah University of Science and Technology (KAUST) for the study performed at KAUST.

References

-
- (1) Selected reviews: (a) Gandini, A.; Lacerda, T. M.; Carvalho, A. J. F.; Trovatti, E. *Chem. Rev.* **2016**, *116*, 1637–1669. (b) Galbis, J. A.; García-Martín, G.; de Paz, V.; Galbis, E. *Chem. Rev.* **2016**, *116*, 1600–1636. (c) Delidovich, I.; Hausoul, P. J. C.; Deng, L.; Pfützenreuter, R.; Rose, M.; Palkovits, R. *Chem. Rev.* **2016**, *116*, 1540–1599. (d) Gandini, A.; Lacerda, T. M. *Prog. Polym. Sci.* **2015**, *48*, 1–39. (e) Vilela, C.; Sousa, A. F.; Fonseca, A. C.; Serra, A.; Coelho, J. F. J.; Freire, C. S. R.; Silvestre, A. J. D. *Polym. Chem.* **2014**, *5*, 3119–3141. (f) Mbrulé, M. J.-L. E.; Haquette, P.; Thomas, C. M. *Polym. Chem.* **2012**, *3*, 836–851. (g) Coates, G. W.; Hillmyer, M. A. Eds. A virtual issue on “Polymers from Renewable Resources”, *Macromolecules* **2009**, *42*, 7987–7989. (h) Gandini, A. *Macromolecules* **2008**, *41*, 9491–9504. (i) Williams, C. K.; Hillmyer, M. A. *Polym. Rev.* **2008**, *48*, 1–10. (j) Meier, M. A. R.; Metzger, J. O.; Schubert, U. S. *Chem. Soc. Rev.* **2007**, *36*, 1788–1802.
- (2) Hong, M.; Chen, E. Y.-X. *Nat. Chem.* **2016**, *8*, 42–49.

-
- (3) Diesendruck, C. E.; Peterson, G. I.; Kulik, H. J.; Kaitz, J. A.; Mar, B. D.; May, P. A.; White, S. R.; Martínez, T. J.; Boydston, A. J.; Moore, J. S. *Nat. Chem.* **2014**, *6*, 623–628.
- (4) (a) Schneiderman, D. K.; Vanderlaan, M. E.; Mannion, A. M.; Panthani, T. R.; Batiste, D. C.; Wang, J. Z.; Bates, F. S.; Macosko, C. W.; Hillmyer, M. A. *ACS Macro Lett.* **2016**, *5*, 515–518. (b) MacDonald, J. P.; Shaver, M. P. *Polym. Chem.* **2016**, *7*, 553–559.
- (5) (a) Kopinke, F.-D. Remmler, M.; Mackenzie, K.; Moder, M.; Wachsen, O. *Polym. Degrad. Stab.* **1996**, *53*, 329–342. (b) McNeill, I. C.; Leiper, H. A. *Polym. Degrad. Stab.* **1985**, *11*, 309–326.
- (6) Hong, M.; Chen, E. Y.-X. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2016**, *55*, 4188–4193.
- (7) Selected reviews: (a) Kitson, R. R. A.; Millemaggi, A.; Taylor, R. J. K. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2009**, *48*, 9426–9451. (b) Hoffman, H. M. R.; Rabe, J. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **1985**, *24*, 94–110.
- (8) Selected reviews: (a) Gowda, R. R.; Chen, E. Y.-X. in *Encyclopedia of Polymer Science and Technology*, Mark, H. F. Ed. Wiley, Hoboken, 4th ed. 2014, Vol. 8, pp. 235–271 (print edition); doi: 10.1002/0471440264.pst606, 2013 (online edition). (b) Agarwal, S.; Jin, Q.; Maji, A. *ACS Symp. Ser.* **2012**, *1105*, 197–212. (c) Mullin, R. *Chem. Eng. News* **2004**, *82* (45), 29–37.
- (9) (a) Manzer, L. E. *ACS Symp. Ser.* **2006**, *921*, 40–51. (b) Manzer, L. E. *Appl. Catal. A: Gen.* **2004**, *272*, 249–256.
- (10) Gowda, R. R.; Chen, E. Y.-X. *Org. Chem. Front.* **2014**, *1*, 230–234.
- (11) (a) Vobecka, Z.; Wei, C.; Tauer, K.; Esposito, D. *Polymer* **2015**, *74*, 262–271. (b) Lee, C.; Song, K. *Asian J. Chem.* **2014**, *26*, 8057–8061. (c) Shin, J.; Lee, Y.; Tolman, W. B.; Hillmyer, M. A. *Biomacromolecules* **2012**, *13*, 3833–3840. (d) Higaki, Y.; Okazaki, R.; Takahara, A. *ACS Macro Lett.* **2012**, *1*, 1124–1127. (e) Cockburn, R. A.; Siegmund, R.; Payne, K. A.; Beuermann, S.; McKenna, T. F. L.; Hutchinson, R. A. *Biomacromolecules* **2011**, *12*, 2319–2326. (f) Juhari, A.; Mosnáček, J.; Yoon, J. A.; Nese, A.; Koynov, K.; Kowalewski, T.; Matyjaszewski, K. *Polymer* **2010**, *51*, 4806–4813. (g) Mosnáček, J.; Yoon, J. A.; Juhari, A.; Koynov, K.; Matyjaszewski, K.

-
- Polymer* **2009**, *50*, 2087–2094. (h) Qi, G.; Nolan, M.; Schork, F. J.; Jones, C. W. *J. Polym. Sci.: Polym. Chem.* **2008**, *46*, 5929–5944. (i) Mosnáček, J.; Matyjaszewski, K. *Macromolecules* **2008**, *41*, 5509–5511. (j) Pittman, C. U. Jr.; Lee, H. *J. Polym. Sci. Part A. Polym. Chem.* **2003**, *41*, 1759–1777. (k) Stansbury, J. W.; Antonucci, J. M. *Dent. Mater.* **1992**, *8*, 270–273. (l) Ueda, M.; Takahashi, M.; Imai, Y.; Pittman, C. U. *J. Polym. Sci.: Polym. Chem.* **1982**, *20*, 2819–2828. (m) Akkapeddi, M. K. *Polymer* **1979**, *20*, 1215–1216. (n) Akkapeddi, M. K. *Macromolecules* **1979**, *12*, 546–551.
- (12) (a) Hu, Y.; Gustafson, L. O.; Zhu, H.; Chen, E. Y.-X. *J. Polym. Sci. Part A. Polym. Chem.* **2011**, *49*, 2008–2017. (b) Suenaga, J.; Sutherlin, D. M.; Stille, J. K. *Macromolecules* **1984**, *17*, 2913–2916.
- (13) (a) Chen, J.; Chen, E. Y.-X. *Molecules* **2015**, *20*, 9575–9590. (b) Xu, T.; Chen, E. Y.-X. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2014**, *136*, 1774–1777. (c) Zhang, Y.; Miyake, G. M.; John, M. G.; Falivene, L.; Caporaso, L.; Cavallo, L.; Chen, E. Y.-X. *Dalton Trans.* **2012**, *41*, 9119–9134. (d) Zhang, Y.; Miyake, G. M.; Chen, E. Y.-X. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2010**, *49*, 10158–10162.
- (14) (a) Zhang, Y.; Gustafson, L. O.; Chen, E. Y.-X. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2011**, *133*, 13674–13684. (b) Miyake, G. M.; Zhang, Y.; Chen, E. Y.-X. *Macromolecules* **2010**, *43*, 4902–4908. (c) Sogah, D. Y.; Hertler, W. R.; Webster, O. W.; Cohen, G. M. *Macromolecules* **1987**, *20*, 1473–1488.
- (15) (a) Gowda, R. R.; Chen, E. Y.-X. *ACS Macro Lett.* **2016**, *5*, 772–776. (b) Schmitt, M.; Falivene, L.; Caporaso, L.; Cavallo, L.; Chen, E. Y.-X. *Polym. Chem.* **2014**, *5*, 3261–3270. (c) Zhang, Y.; Schmitt, M.; Falivene, L.; Caporaso, L.; Cavallo, L.; Chen, E. Y.-X. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2013**, *135*, 17925–17942. (d) Zhang, Y.; Chen, E. Y.-X. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2012**, *51*, 2465–2469.
- (16) (a) Gowda, R. R.; Caporaso, L.; Cavallo, L.; Chen, E. Y.-X. *Organometallics* **2014**, *33*, 4118–4130. (b) Hu, Y.; Wang, X.; Chen, Y.; Caporaso, L.; Cavallo, L.; Chen, E. Y.-X. *Organometallics* **2013**, *32*, 1459–1465. (c) Gowda, R. R.; Chen, E. Y.-X. *Dalton Trans.* **2013**, *42*, 9263–9273. (d) Chen,

-
- X.; Caporaso, L.; Cavallo, L.; Chen, E. Y.-X. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2012**, *134*, 7278–7281. (e) Hu, Y.; Xu, X.; Zhang, Y.; Chen, Y.; Chen, E. Y.-X. *Macromolecules* **2010**, *43*, 9328–9336.
- (17) (a) Johnson, K. G.; Yang, L. S. *Preparation, Properties and Applications of Unsaturated Polyester in Modern Polyesters*; Scheirs, J.; Long, T. E. Eds. Wiley: Chichester, UK, 2003, 699–713. (b) Boenig, H. V. *Unsaturated Polyesters: Structure and Properties*; Elsevier, 1964.
- (18) Selected reviews: (a) Carpentier, J.-F. *Organometallics* **2015**, *34*, 4175–4189. (b) Hillmyer, M. A.; Tolman, W. B. *Acc. Chem. Res.* **2014**, *47*, 2390–2396. (c) Sauer, A.; Kapelski, A.; Fliedel, C.; Dagorne, S.; Kol, M.; Okuda, J. *Dalton Trans.* **2013**, *42*, 9007–9023. (d) Jérôme, C.; Lecomte, P. *Adv. Polym. Sci.* **2012**, *245*, 173–217. (e) Kiesewetter, M. K.; Shin, E. J.; Hedrick, J. L.; Waymouth, R. M. *Macromolecules* **2010**, *43*, 2093–2107. (f) Carpentier, J.-F. *Macromol. Rapid Commun.* **2010**, *31*, 1696–1705. (g) Jérôme, C.; Lecomte, P. *Adv. Drug Delivery. Rev.* **2008**, *60*, 1056–1076. (h) Kamber, N. E.; Jeong, W.; Waymouth, R. M.; Pratt, R. C.; Lohmeijer, B. G. G.; Hedrick, J. L. *Chem. Rev.* **2007**, *107*, 5813–5840. (i) Albertsson, A.-C.; Varma, I. K. *Biomacromolecules* **2003**, *4*, 1466–1486. (j) Albertsson, A.-C.; Varma, I. K. *Adv. Polym. Sci.* **2002**, *157*, 1–40. (k) O’Keefe, B. J.; Hillmyer, M. A.; Tolman, W. B. *Dalton Trans.* **2001**, 2215–2224. (l) Mecerreyes, D.; Jerome, R.; Dubois, P. *Adv. Polym. Sci.* **1999**, *147*, 1–59. (m) Kuran, W. *Prog. Polym. Sci.* **1998**, *23*, 919–992.
- (19) Uyama, H.; Kobayashi, S.; Morita, M.; Habaue, S.; Okamoto, Y. *Macromolecules* **2001**, *34*, 6554–6556.
- (20) (a) Allcock, H. R.; Lampe, F. W.; Mark, J. E. “Contemporary Polymer Chemistry”, Pearson Education Inc., Upper Saddle River, 3rd ed. 2003, p. 155. (b) Odian, G. “Principles of Polymerization”, Wiley-Interscience, New York, 3rd ed. 1991, p. 570. (c) Sawada, H. “Thermodynamics of Polymerization”, Marcel Dekker, Inc., New York, 1976, p.150.

-
- (21) Houk, K. H.; Jabbari, A.; Hall, H. K. Jr.; Alemán, C. *J. Org. Chem.* **2008**, *73*, 2674–2678.
- (22) (a) Duda, A.; Penczek, S. *Macromol. Chem. Phys.* **1996**, *197*, 1273–1283. (b) Duda, A.; Biela, T.; Libiszowski, J.; Penczek, S.; Dubois, P.; Mecerreyes, D.; Jérôme, R. *Polym. Degrad. Stab.* **1998**, *59*, 215–222.
- (23) (a) Olsén, P.; Odelius, K.; Albertsson, A-C. *Biomacromolecules* **2016**, *17*, 699–709. (b) Duda, A.; Kowalski, A. in *Handbook of Ring-Opening Polymerization*. Dubois, P.; Coulembier, O.; Raquez, J.-M. Eds.; Wiley-VCH, Weinheim, 2009. Ch. 1, pp. 1–51. (c) Alemán, C.; Betran, O.; Casanovas, J.; Houk, K. H.; Hall, H. K. Jr. *J. Org. Chem.* **2009**, *74*, 6237–6244. (d) Saiyasombat, W.; Molloy, R.; Nicholson, T. M.; Johnson, A. F.; Ward, I. M.; Poshyachinda, S. *Polymer* **1998**, *39*, 5581–5585.
- (24) Zhou, J.; Schmidt, A. M.; Ritter, H. *Macromolecules* **2010**, *43*, 939–942.
- (25) Hong, M.; Chen, E. Y.-X. *Macromolecules* **2014**, *47*, 3614–3624.
- (26) Amgoune, A.; Thomas, C. M.; Roisnel, T.; Carpentier, J. F. *Chem. Eur. J.* **2006**, *12*, 169–179.
- (27) Liu, X.; Shang, X.; Tang, T.; Hu, N.; Pei, F.; Cui, D.; Chen, X.; Jing, X. *Organometallic* **2007**, *26*, 2747–2757.
- (28) Ma, H.; Okuda, J. *Macromolecules* **2005**, *38*, 2665–2673.
- (29) Chen, E. Y.-X. *Chem. Rev.* **2009**, *109*, 5157–5214.
- (30) Selected reviews: (a) Barner-Kowollik, C.; Du Prez, F. E.; Espeel, P.; Hawker, C. J.; Junkers, T.; Schlaad, H.; Van Camp, W. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2011**, *50*, 60–62. (b) Hoyle, C. E.; Bowman, C. N. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2010**, *49*, 1540–1573. (c) Iha, R. K.; Wooley, K. L.; Nyström, A. M.; Burke, D. J.; Kade, M. J.; Hawker, C. J. *Chem. Rev.* **2009**, *109*, 5620–5686. (d) Binder, W. H.; Sachsenhofer, R. *Macromol. Rapid Commun.* **2008**, *29*, 952–981. (e) Binder, W. H.; Sachsenhofer, R. *Macromol. Rapid Commun.* **2007**, *28*, 15–54.

For Table of Contents Use Only

